





ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION

ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 Case No. APIC-89/2025.

APPELLANT

: Shri Tamchi Gungte, Near KV-II School Chimpu

RESPONDENT

: The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer, (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Roing Division, District: Lower Dibang Valley.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Tamchi Gungte for non-furnishing of 25(twenty five) point information on c/o "Improvement of Bomjir-Paglam road (Bizari to Anpum, L-13.400 km)" during the financial year 2021-22 by the PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Roing Division, District: Lower Dibang Valley as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 14.10.2024.

Hearing and decision:

This appeal was, accordingly, listed and heard for 4(four) times earlier on 23.04.2025, 21.05.2025, 06.06.2025 and 04.07.2025.

In the last hearing on 04.07.2025, wherein the PIO was represented by Er. Shri Vikash Bagang, JE, the Commission, upon consideration of the replies submitted by the PIO to the Show Cause Notice and also on perusal of the left out documents brought in by the PIO's representative as per the demand of the appellant in RTI application, closed the show cause notice dt. 26.06.2025 and disposed of and closed the appeal.

The appellant, Shri Tamchi Gungte letter dt. 11th July, 2025, however, complained that the PIO has furnished incomplete documents and were not as per his application in Form – A.

The following are the shortcoming complained by the appellant in his letter: "A) Serial No. 3: after receiving the payment details information from the PIO, it is very much clear that the UC provided by the PIO is incomplete.

- B)Serial No.22: the documents are incomplete since there are multiple Numbers of work item, but the document provided is of only the Bitumen works.
 - C)Serial No. 24: the documents does not show the payment made to the Contractor."

The appellant has, hence, requested for adjudicating the appeal again.

In the premises as above, this appeal has been listed again today on 27.08.2025 to get the explanation/clarification from the PIO on the shortcomings in the replies to the queries pointed out by the Appellant as above.

Today on 27th August, 2025, the PIO is again represented by Shri Vikas Bagang, JE with the left out documents. The appellant, however, complained that the replies to the query at Sl.No.24, namely, the documents furnished by the PIO pertain to the memorandum of payment as against his request for the payment of Bills to the contractor and the mode of payment thereof, whether by cheque of through PFMS portal.

This Commission, after hearing the parties and perusing the documents brought in by the representative of the PIO, directs the PIO to furnish the details of actual payment made to the contractor and the mode of payment thereof within 2(two) weeks from the date of receipt of this order and thereafter the appellant shall intimate this Commission of the receipt of the same.

This appeal is disposed of with the above direction.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 27th August, 2025.

> Sd/-(S. TSERING BAPPU) State Information Commissioner, APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC- 89/2025 / 605 Dated Itanagar, the Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Eastern Zone Thana Road Namsai, (A.P), the First Appellate Authority (FAA) for information.

2. The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Roing Division, Lower Dibang Valley District, PIN - 792110 for information and compliance.

3. Shri Tamchi Gungte, Near KV-II School Chimpu, Po/PS Chimpu, Distt. Papum Pare (A.P) PIN: 791113, Mobile No. 9233567279 for information.

4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.

5. Office copy.

6. S/Copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar A Ricord famagar. Arenachal Pradesa latermation Commission