



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)  
ITANAGAR

**File No. APIC-341/2025(Appeal)**

**Shri Riya Taram,  
B.Tahar & Shri L.Namdu**  
Huto Colony, Jollang Road  
C/o Riang Store near Catholic Church  
Jollang Itanagar Papumapre Dist. A.P.  
(M) 9383103387/9402443699  
Pin: 791113.

**Appellant**

**Versus**

**1. PIO,  
O/o the Executive Engineer (EE)**  
WRD Tawang Division  
Tawang District A.P.  
Pin Code: 790104

**Respondents**

**2. FAA  
Chief Engineer WRD (W/Z)**  
Itanagar, Papumpare District AP.  
Pin Code: 791111.

**ORDER**

Date of Hearing: 18.08.2025  
Date of Decision: 18.08.2025

**INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Dani Gamboo**  
**Relevant facts emerging from appeal.**

RTI application filed on	:	21.02.2025
SPIO replied on	:	Not on record
First appeal filed on	:	24.03.2025
First Appellate Authority's Order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal filed on	:	28.04.2025

**Information sought:**

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 21.02.2025 seeking following relevant information against the implemented of development works under the Funding head of Budget Estimate (BE) from 2020-2025.

Details of information Required: -

1. Furnish the Name Scheme/work List with Sanctioned amount.

2. Furnish the Technical Sanction order/Work Order copy/first & final bill/LOC copy with details of payment like Xerox copy of Cheque leaf/demand draft/banker cheque/pay in slip for NEFT/RTGS/deposit Treasury Challan by WRD department as per name of work order list wise/Give the name of Contractor/Agency.
  3. Give Colouring Photocopy of Geo-tag Report/CIB is mandatory of work wise.
  4. Furnish the Money Receipt List of Contractor/firm bill Payment with their name & contact number in name of work list wise.
  5. Give the Xerox copy of name of Agency/firm with contact number of Proprietor.
  6. Give the billing Sheets/scheme Sheets details copy of work wise.
  7. Give the details of MB records/Cashbook Statement in work wise.
  8. How many percentages of amount has been deducted from contractor bill payment for like GST=%, CE=%, SE=%, EE=%, AE=%, JE=%, =, etc. Please mention.
  9. Give the Certified Colouring Photocopy of all the work Sites by Pio.
  10. Give the Colouring photocopy/videography of every work site which might be before starting of work/Starting of work and ending of work.
  11. Furnish the NOC copy of GPC/Gaon Buras Gaon Buris of concern village/Segment.
  12. Give name of Officers & Officials Who is the DDO Power or carried out Works.
  13. Give details of Enlistment of contractor as per work department Rules Act 2008.
  14. Give details of proprietor of firm/Registration of certificate.
  15. Give the Money deposited Challan of Govt. account against GST/Royalty by department/firm in work wise.
  16. All the bill Payment must be Paid to the Contractor Accurately as per amount which mentioned in the Sanctioned Order/name of Scheme list.
- ALL the document must be provided in CTC by Concern Pio.

**Period from which information asked for: - 2020-2025.**

The following were present.

<b>Appellant</b>	:	Absent.
<b>Respondent PIO</b>	:	Absent. Shri Nega Likha, AE has represented the PIO.
<b>F A A</b>	:	Absent. Shri Bising Darin, EE WRD, CE WRD (W/Z) Office has represented the FAA.

#### **Decision:**

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, hearing the parties and perusal of the records, observes that FAA has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him by following statutory procedure laid down in the Arunachal Pradesh Right to Information (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. So, this appeal case is decided and determined in the absence of appellants made known to them in the hearing notice.



As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority. Therefore, the **FAA – Chief Engineer, WRD, (W/Z), Itanagar, Govt. of AP.**, following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order i.e **on or before 01.09. 2025.**


The appellant is at liberty to file 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal afresh:

1. If the Appellant is not satisfied with the information furnished to him by PIO based on the judgement order passed by the FAA.
2. If the FAA has denied the requested information based on specific exemptions/ grounds provided under the RTI Act, 2005.

Fee for such 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal, if done, shall be exempted.

**Sd/-**  
**(Dani Gamboo)**  
**Information Commissioner**


Authenticated true copy

  
Registrar / Dy. Registrar  
APIC

Memo No. APIC-341/2025/  
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar the 20<sup>th</sup> August' 2025.

1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.
2. Office copy.

  
Registrar / Dy. Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar  
Deputy Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar