सत्यमेव जयते

सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC) ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No.APIC- 511/2023.

(Summon to appear in person)
(Or.5, R.3 of CPC)

Shri Mamu Sono
Vill.-Sood, Naharlagun
P.O/P.S-Naharlagun, AP. ..... **APPELLANT.**

Vs

PIO, O/o the DAH & VO Bomdila
West Kameng District, AP. ..... **RESPONDENT.**

Date of filling of RTI application	20.03.23
PIO's response	-
Date of filing of First Appeal	21.04.23
First Appellate Authority's response	-
Date of diarized receipt of Second Appeal by the Commission	24.05.23
Date(s) of Hearing in the Commission	21.12.23, 24.01.24, 20.03.24, 22.05.24, 19.06.24, 24.07.24 AND 28.08.24
Date of order/decision	**28.08.2024**

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Mamu Sono, Vill-Sood, Naharlagun, P.O/P.S-Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh for non-furnishing of information by the PIO, o/o the DAH & VO Bomdila, West Kameng District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

This appeal was fixed for hearing for 7 (seven) times.

In the 2nd hearing of this case held on 24th Jan,2024 (Wednesday) wherein both the Appellant, Shri Mamu Sono and Dr. Shri Pema Thungon, V O, the representative of the PIO werepresent, the representative of the PIO informed that the sought for information by the appellant has already been provided to him and the appellant has also informed that he has received the sought information and he is satisfied with the information. However, the appellant expressed his desire to have site inspection of the projects being implemented by the department. The Commission considered the request of the appellantand directed to conduct the same with proper consultation/convenance amongst the parties and both should submit the report thereof to the Commission for further proceeding into the case.

In the hearing on 20th March,2024 (Wednesday), Dr Pema Thungon, VO and APIO o/o DAH & VO Bomdila, Weast Kameng District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh and the Appellant Shri Mamu Sono were present. The APIO has informed the Commission that the appellant did not report to the PIO's Office for site inspection as directed by the Commission in its previous hearing on 24/01/2024. The appellant informed the Commission that due to accident of his vehicle he could not reach the PIO's Office on time, however, he would reach Bomdila on 15th May,2024 for site inspection In view thereof, the Commission instructed both the parties to carry out the site inspection on 15th May,2024 and submit its report to the Commission.

In the 4th hearingon 22/05/2024 wherein the appellant and Dr. Mrs.Dr K. Tayeng, VO Thrizino, West Kameng District, the representative of the PIO were present, this Commission was informed by them that site inspection as instructed by the commission in its order dated 20/03/2024 couldn't be carried out due to pre-occupation of the appellant. Both the Appellant and the representative of the PIO further informed that the site inspection of the projects, wherever possible, shall be carried out on or before 25/05/2024 and submit the report to the commission for further adjudication of the case.

In the 5th hearing on 19/06/2024 wherein both the Appellant and the APIO, Dr. P. Thungon (through V.C) werepresent, the Commission was informed that the site inspection of projects could not be carried out as yet as no mutually convenient date to the parties could be fixed. The Commission directed the parties to carry out the site inspection within one month positively and submit a report before the next date of the hearing.

In the 6th hearing on 24th July, 2024, hearing, the PIO, Dr. Shri Mui Tama, the District AHV & DDO, Bomdila attended the hearing but the Appellant Shri Mamu Sono was absent.

The PIO Submitted that the o/o the District AHV & DDO was ready for inspection of the site and waited for the Appellant but he did not turn up for inspection.

This Commission after hearing the PIO and taking serious view of the lapse on the part of the Appellant to carryout the site inspection despite the direction of this Commission, directed that the Appellant shall, in consultation, with the o/o the PIO, carry out the site inspection as desired by him within a period of one month from the date of receipt of this order and submit the report thereof stating his satisfaction or otherwise before the next date of hearing failing which this Commission shall be compelled to dispose of and close the appeal for once and for all as being want of prosecution and fixed the hearing today on 28.08.2024.

In today's hearing on 28.08.2024, the PIO, Dr. Shri Mui Tama, the District AHV & DDO, Bomdila appeared through VC but the appellant again did not turn up.

In view of the continued absence of the appellant in the hearing without any intimation and non-compliance of this Commission's direction regarding the site inspection, this Commission is compelled to dispose and close the appeal as being not prosecuted or pressed for further intervention of this Commission and accordingly, this appeal is hereby disposed of and closed for once and for all.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 28 August, 2024.

Sd/-
(Sangyal Tsering Bappu)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo. No. APIC- 511/2023/ 281 Dated Itanagar, the 29 August, 2024 .

Copy to:-

1. The PIO, o/o the DAH & VO Bomdila, West Kameng District, PIN - 790001, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh for information & necessary action please.
2. Shri Mamu Sono, Vill.-Sood, Naharlagun, P.O/P.S-Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh, Pin - 791110, (PH-9436215521), for information & necessary action please.
3. The Computer Programmer / Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office copy.

29-8-24

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.