

## ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC) ITANAGAR

(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Dani Gamboo)

## AN APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

## APIC-No.553/2023(Appeal)

Shri Lokam Namdu Kankar Nallah PO/PS Naharlagun Papum Pare District AP. (M) 9362166831 Pin: 791110. Appellant

Versus

The PIO-Cum-EE PWD Sangram Division Kurung Kumey District Arunachal Pradesh. Pin: 791118. Respondent

Date 05.09.2024

## **ORDER**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of the Section 19 of the RTI Act. 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Lokam Namdu on 28.03.2023 filed an RTI application in Form-A to the PIO-EE PWD Sangram Division Kurung Kumey District Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, whereby, seeking information as quoted in Form-A application.

Appellant being not received information from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.05.2023.

Appellant again having not received the required information and decision from FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh information Commission on 16.06.2022.

The Registry of the Commission (APIC), on receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC-No.553/2023 (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, this matter came up for 3rd hearing before the Commission Court today on 05.09.2024. Notice of hearing dated 13.08.2024 were served to PIO and the Appellants.

In this hearing of the appeal on 05.07.2024 the respondent PIO Cum EE PWD Sangram Division Kurung Kumey District is absent. The appellant Shri Lokam Namdu attended.

Heard the appellant.

The appellant sates that he has not received any information from the PIO. On being reminded him of this commission's order dated 25.01.2024 to specify and reduce the number of schemes and time period so that the resources of the office / department are not diverted disproportionately, the appellant states that he has sent such submission to the PIO through medium of social media whatsapp. But he could not produce any proof.

The commission after hearing the statement of the appellant, directs the appellant to file a written submission specifying clearly reduced number of schemes for one financial year at a time to the PIO and obtain receipt acknowledgement from PIO / office within a period 10 days from the date of issue of this order. A copy of such written submission filed and receipt obtained be submitted to this commission.

It is observed that the PIO has repeatedly remained absent on the dates fixed for hearing without intimating reasons for the same. So, the commission warns the PIO for violation of the implementation of RTI Act, 2005. This appeal case shall be heard and determined ex-parte and necessary action shall be initiated if the PIO remains absent in next hearing.

The hearing is adjourned. Next date of hearing shall be fixed in due course of time after receipt of compliance report from PIO and appellant.

Order pronounced in the Open Court of this Commission today this 05<sup>th</sup> day of September' 2024. Each copy of the order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission / Court on this  $05^{\text{th}}$  day of September' 2024.

Sd/-(Dani Gamboo) Commissioner

Memo No.APIC-553/2023/204 Copy to: Dated Itanagar the ..... Sept' 2024.

1. The PIO-Cum-EE PWD Sangram Division Kurung Kumey District Arunachal Pradesh. Pin: 791118.

- Shri Lokam Namdu Kankar Nallah PO/PS Naharlagun Papum Pare District Arunachal Pradesh. (M) 9362166831 Pin: 791110.
- 3. The Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar with request to upload in APIC website and mail it to all concern in their official email id.

4. Office copy.

Registrar / Dy.Registrar

APIC, Itanagar Deputy Registrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagur