



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)

TANAGAR.

Complaint Case U/S 18 (1) of RTI Act, 2005

Case No. APIC- 03/2024.

(Summon to appear in person)

(Or.5, R.3 of CPC)

Shri Tania June, E-Sector Naharlagun PO/PS
Naharlagun.

: APPELLANT

Vs

The PIO, o/o Executive Engineer (PWD), Naharlagun
Division, Papum Pare District, (A.P)

: RESPONDENT

SUMMON

This is a Complaint under Section 18(1) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Tania June for denial of information by the PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Naharlagun Division, Papum Pare District, (A.P) as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 02.09.2024.

This complaint under section 18-(1) of RTI Act 2005 filed by Shri Tania June, E -Sector, Naharlagun was listed today on 6th Nov. 2024 for hearing wherein the APIO, Shri Pema Dorjee Khochi, AE and APIO o/o the EE (PWD), Naharlagun Division was present but the complainant was absent.

It reveals from the record that the complainant vide his application dated 02-09-2024, had requested for information regarding details of various categories of staff engaged under the establishment of the o/o the PIO but he was denied the information and therefore, filed this complaint under Section 18 of RTI Act. 2005.

The APIO furnished a copy of letter dated 12-09-2024 addressed to the complainant, Shri Tania June intimating that the information sought by him is indiscriminate and impractical demand and also that collecting, printing of such multiple categories of voluminous documents will take considerable time and manpower in collecting and furnishing the information.

Since the complainant's request to the PIO was for disclosure of information and was denied by the o/o the PIO which prompted the applicant to file this complaint, this Commission deems it appropriate to find out from the complainant as to whether he still wish to obtain the information or to proceed with the necessary action against the PIO under section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005. But in the absence of the complainant in the hearing this Commission is not able to come to the conclusion about the real intention of the complainant.

This Commission, taking into account the above aspect and also of the fact that the procedures contemplated under section 18 and section 19 of the Act are different and that if the complainant wishes to obtain the information, then his grievances are to be addressed by following the procedure under section 19 of the Act.

This Commission, therefore, deems it proper to adjourn the hearing of this case to next date so that the complainant can be heard, and find out his real intention and accordingly proceed with the adjudication of the matter further.

Therefore, next date of hearing is fixed on 29th Nov. 2024 at 2 PM.


NOW THEREFORE, the parties are hereby directed to appear in the Hon'ble Court of Shri Sangyal Tsering Bappu, SIC in person on the above date and time without fail.

Sd/-
(SANGYAL TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-03/2024/ 303
Copy to:-

Dated Itanagar, the 7 Nov., 2024

1. The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD) Naharlagun Division, Papum Pare District, (A.P) E-mail: eenlg@yahoo.co.in for information & compliance.
2. Shri Tania June, E-Sector Naharlagun PIN: 791110 Mobile no. 8131848230 for information.
3. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office copy.
5. S/Copy.


Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.