



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)

ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 Vide Case No. APIC- 959/2023.

Shri Riya Taram RTI Secy. (ALSU) & Shri Takam Sakap C/o Hotel River View, Naharlagun Vs The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (WRD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

..... APPELLANT

......RESPONDENT

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Riya Taram and others for non-furnishing of information by the PIO o/o the Executive Engineer (WRD) Bordumsa Division, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by them under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide application dated 19.07.2023.

Facts of the case:

FORMA

The Appellants, Shri Riya Taram and Shri Takam Sakap, vide their application dt. 19.07.2023, had sought 28 (twenty eight) point information against the fund allocated under PMKSY-HKKP/CLUSTER SMI SCHEME / SIDF / BE / RE / SADA / ADA / MLA-LAD / MP -LAD / SPA / SJETA / CCI / CMCRP/BA/SCA-TSS/SWRD or Maintenance and supply works and implementation at entire Bordumsa Division from 2016 to till date from the PIO o/o the Executive Engineer (WRD) Bordumsa Division Changlang.

Having failed to obtain the information from the PIO, the appellants filed 1st appeal before the C.E (WRD), Eastern Zone, Miao, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) under section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide appeal Memo dt.21.08.2023. But having failed yet again to receive any response from the 1st Appellate Authority, the appellants filed 2nd appeal before this Commission under section 19(3) of the RTI Act vide Memo of Appeal dt.29.09.2023.

Hearing and decision:

The appeal was accordingly listed for 4(four) times on 27.09.2024, 01.11.2024, 04.12.2024 and 15.01.2025.

In the 1st hearing on 27.09.2024 both the PIO and Appellant were absent.

In the 2nd hearing on 01.11.2024, the PIO was again absent without any intimation nor deputed any one to attend the hearing but one of the appellants, Shri Riya Taram was present.

This Commission, taking serious view of the continued absence of the PIO, had warned the PIO that if he fails to attend the next hearing, action under section 20 of the RTI Act shall be initiated against him.

In the hearing on 04.12.2024 also the PIO did not turn up but intimated through his J.E, Er. Shri Deo Sangno that he is unable to attend the hearing due to medical emergency and requested for adjournment of the hearing to an appropriate date. The hearing of the appeal was, hence, adjourned to 15.01.2025 with direction to the PIO, o/o the E.E. (WRD), Bordumsa Division, Changlang District to appear in person with the sought for documents (whatever is available) in the next hearing.

In today's hearing on 15.01.2025, the appellant, Shri Riya Taram who attended the hearing in person, submitted a written statement intimating that he has received the information he had sought from the PIO with which he is satisfied and accordingly, wishes to withdraw his appeal.

This Commission considered the submission of the appellant and hereby disposes of the appeal which consequently stands closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 15th January, 2025.

Sd/-(SANGYAL TSERING BAPPU) State Information Commissioner, APIC, Itanagar.

Dated Itanagar, the 16 January, 2025 Memo No. APIC- 959/2023/

Copy to:-

- 1. The First Appellate Authority (FAA), the Chief Engineer (WRD) Eastern Zone, Govt. of A.P, Miao for information.
- 2. The PIO o/o the Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department (WRD) Bordumsa Division, Changlang District (A.P) PIN: 792056 for information.
- Shri Riya Taram, RTI Secy. (ALSU) Adv. Takam Sakap and Adv. Lokam Tadam,c/o Hotel River View Naharlagun PIN: 791110 Mobile No. 9383103387/9402443699 for information.
- 4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
- 5. Office copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission