



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION

ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Case No. APIC-438 /2024.

APPELLANT : Shri Nabam Tai & ors.

RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the DFO Silviculture Chimpu, Itanagar, Dist
Papum Pare (A.P)

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Nabam Tai for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the (DFO) Silviculture Chimpu, Itanagar, Dist : Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 08.08.24.

Details of information required:

1. List of Regular Contingency under DFO Silviculture with name and designations;
2. Sanction order of contingencies working your division from PCCF;
3. Recruitment Rules and reservation of contingency and total sanction post under your division with a copy;
4. Copy of power of appointing authority by DFO to contingency;
5. PCCF orders and approval letters to DFO Silviculture for appointments of contingency under your division;
6. List of contingency appointed by present DFO with name, designation and address with copy of PCCF approval order and sanction to make the appointment and
7. Government orders and guidelines for appointment contingency in the state of Arunachal and under which authority and approval the appointment were made.

Records reveal that the PIO, vide letter dt.24.09.2024, had furnished replies to some of the points but as against points at Sl. No.2, 3,4,5 and 7, the PIO had remarked not available which prompted the appellant to approach the Director, State Forest Research Institute, Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) vide his Memo of Appeal dt.04.10.2024. In response to the said appeal, the FAA, heard the appeal on 21.10.2024 and vide its letter dt.22.10.2024, had directed the PIO to furnish the sought for information to the appellant within 30 days time.

The appellant, however, preferred this 2nd appeal before this Commission vide Memo of Appeal dt. 13.12.2024 on the ground that he was not provided with the complete information.

This Appeal is, accordingly, listed for hearing today on 7th March, 2025 wherein the PIO, Shri Tilling Taker, DFO, Silviculture, Chimpu is present and the appellant Shri Nabam Tai is also present in person.

Heard the parties.

The PIO submitted that the appellant has already been provided with whatever documents were available with the o/o the PIO and that the appellant had been informed about the documents which were not available.

This Commission is of the considered view that the PIO can not be directed to furnish the documents which he does not hold. As such, the appellant has been persuaded to drop his demands for those documents which are not available with the PIO. The appellant has agreed to the suggestion.

This appeal is thus, disposed of and closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 7th March, 2025.

Sd/-


(S. TSERING BAPPU)

State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC- 438/2024/639 Dated Itanagar, the 11 March, 2025

Copy to:

1. The Director State Forest Research Institute-cum-First Appellate Authority Van Vihar Chimpu, Itanagar for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the (DFO) Silviculture Chimpu, Itanagar, Dist: Papum Pare Arunachal Pradesh for information.
3. Shri Nabam Tai, Arunachal Flora & Fauna development Protection Vigilance Committee, PO & PS – Nirjuli, Dist. Papum pare (A.P) 791109 Mobile No. 8798128194 for information.
4. ✓ The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
5. Office copy.
6. S/Copy.


Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.