



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No.APIC-1064/2023**

Shri Shoney Pertin –V/S- PIO-cum-EE, Pasighat Smart City Development Corporation Ltd. Govt. of A.P.

Judgment/Order:03.12.2024.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Shoney Pertin on **28/08/2023** filed an RTI application in Form- 'A' before the PIO-cum EE, Pasighat Smart City Development Corporation Limited, East Siang District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **29/09/2023**. Appellant again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **16/11/2023** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the complaint registered it as **APIC No- 1064/2023 (Appeal)** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission, on **03.12.2024**.

In the first hearing of the appeal the Appellant Shri Shoney Pertin is present through online mode. The PIO is represented by a legal Counsel, Mr. Nitin Khare, through online mode.

Heard the Appellant and Counsel of the PIO:

The Appellant informed the Commission that he has already received all information(s) sought from the PIO-cum-EE, Pasighat Smart City Development Corporation Limited, East Siang District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh except the information sought in Serial No-b in his Form-A.

The learned Counsel of the PIO stated before the Commission that all the information(s) sought by the Appellant have been provided to him except the information sought in Serial No.-b of the Form-A of the Appellant, for the reason being that: The RTI, Act, 2005 does not permit information(s) seekers to seek answers to interrogative questions from the PIO.

That save the information(s) sought in Serial No.-'b' of the Appellant's Form-A, other information have been provided to him already.

After hearing both the parties. The Commission too is convinced that all information(s) have been provided to the Appellant.

In view of the above facts and circumstances the Commission finds this appeal fit to be dismissed and closed. And, accordingly, this appeal stands dismissed and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 3rd day of December' 2024. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this **3rd day of Dec. 2024**.

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No. APIC-1064/2023/ **491** Dated Itanagar, the **6th** Dec. 2024.

Copy to:

- (i) The PIO-cum-EE, (PSCDCL) Pasighat East Siang District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
- (ii) Shri Shoney Pertin, PO/PS Pasighat, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
- (iii) The Computer Programmer for uploaded on the Website of APIC, please.
- (iv) The office copy.

Registrar/Dy.Registrar
De APIC, Itanagar.
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar