



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, APIC
ITANAGAR

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No. Appeal-911/2023,

BEFORE THE COURT OF SHRI KHOPEY THALEY, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

(Summon to appear in person)
(Or. 5, R.3 of CPC)

Shri Mamu Sono.....

Appellant

Versus

PIO-cum-EE(RWD), Bameng Division.....Respondent

Date of hearing : 02/12/2024
Date of decision/Judgment : 02/12/2024

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Shri Khopey Thaley

Relevant facts emerging from Appeal:

RTI application file on : 06/07/2023
PIO replied on :
First appeal file on : 07/08/2023
First Appellate Authority's order :
2nd Appeal dated : 12/09/2023

Information sought :

The appellant file an RTI Application dated 06/07/2023 seeking Details of the Projects under the Pradhan Manti Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY Road).

As per the case record, PIO has never conducted the hearing under his jurisdiction.

Being dissatisfied, the appellant filed First Appeal dated 07/08/2023. No hearing has been conducted by the First Appellate in this regard. Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied, appellant approached the Commission with instant Second Appeal.

The following were present.

Appellant : Shri Mamu Sono present during the hearing
Respondent : PIO-cum- Executive Engineer (RWD), Bameng Division found
Absent


02/12/24
State Information Commission
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.

Contd..2

JUDGEMENT ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellants Shri Mamu Sono on 06/07/2023 filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum- Executive Engineer (RWD), Bameng Division, East Kameng District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07/08/2023, Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 12/09/2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC No. 911/2023 and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for first time i.e on 02/12/2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 2nd day of December, 2024, the appellant Shri Mamu Sonu present but the PIO-cum-EE (RWD), Bameng Division found absent. The appellant is directed to file before the F.A.A for the information under Section 6 of RTI Act which he is seeking. The FAA-cum-Chief Engineer (RWD), PMGSY, Itanagar, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh and PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (RWD), Bameng Division, East Kameng District, is directed to take up case and dispose as per Section-7 of RTI Act, 2005 within 30 days on receipt of the request.

Under Section 19(1) of the Act, the First Appellate Authority (FAA), the intermediate level, has to adjudicate on the Appeal, if any, filed by the information seekers against the decision of the PIO.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GOI and the State Govt., adjudication on the appeals under the RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

The First Appellate Authority (FAA), following the principle of natural justice, should conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter must pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within 30 days from the date of receipt of the appeal or else the action of the FAA would be considered as procedural lapse on the part of the FAA.

Further, it is noticed that the Appellant in most case do not wait for the orders of the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and directly prefer appeals before the 2nd Appellate Authority without attaching a copy of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA) unintelligently.

Here, it is germane to note that for availing 2nd appeal before the 2nd Appellate Authority, the Appellant has been given 90 days' time from the date of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The 2nd appeal, if he/she is dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), must be accompanied by the orders passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA).


02/12/24
State Information Commission
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.

Contd..3

The appeal is accordingly remand back to the First Appellate Authority for adjudication and passing an appropriate order who, being the officer senior in rank to the PIO and well versed with the knowledge of the functioning of the department, shall apply his mind and go into the aspects like what kind of information was sought by appellant in his application, whether the same could be provided or whether the same is exempted under the relevant provisions of section 8 of the Act or whether the information relates to matter covered by Section 11 of the RTI Act etc. and then pass a speaking order giving justification for his decision within 3 (three) weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Therefore, perusing the case records, the Commission deemed fit to remand back the appeal case APIC No. 911/2023 to First Appellate Authority for proper hearing. The case is disposed off with liberty to appellant to prefer second appeal if dissatisfied or aggrieved by the decision of the First Appellate Authority for which no fees need be paid.

The Commission found that the hearing case has not been done through proper procedure, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of and closed. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and remand back to FAA for proper hearing.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 2nd day of December, 2024. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 2nd day of December, 2024.

(Khohey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-911/2023/ *ustj* Dated Itanagar, the ... December, 2024.
Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (RWD), Bameng Division, East Kameng District, Arunachal Pradesh, for information and necessary action please. Pin code- 790102
2. Shri Mamu Sono, Sood Village, Naharlagun, Papum Pare District, Arunachal for information and necessary action. **Contact No. 9436215521**
3. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC.
Seppa.
4. Office Copy.

[Signature]
(Khohey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

16/12/24
State Information Commission
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.