



सूचना का  
अधिकार  
RIGHT TO  
INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)  
ITANAGAR

(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr Dani Gamboo)

AN APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

APIC-No. 344/2023(Appeal)

Shri Dongru Tania  
Near Takar Complex  
PO/PS: Naharlagun  
Papumpare Dist. A.P  
Pin: 791110  
(M) 7005481002

Appellant

Versus

1) The PIO-Cum-BDO, Pasighat  
East Siang District  
Arunachal Pradesh.  
Pin: 791102

Respondent

2) The FAA-cum-DC, Pasighat  
East Siang District  
Arunachal Pradesh.  
Pin: 791102

Date of hearing: 01.04.2024

Respondent PIO cum BDO Pasighat, East Siang District and FAA cum Deputy Commissioner, East Siang District, Pasighat was represented by Shri Tajing Padung PD DRDA East Siang District. Appellant Shri Dongru Tania appeared.

Heard both the parties present.

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 09.02.2023 seeking information regarding: "Expenditure and implementation under MGNREGA / supply work / pending work / new work/ sanction order / complete work."

The appellant then filed first appeal on 14.03.2023 to the FAA cum Deputy Commissioner, East Siang District, Pasighat GoAP.

Feeling aggrieved for not furnishing the information in time the appellant approached the commission with this instant second appeal dated 21.04.2023

The appellant placed his grievances for not furnishing the information in time. Whereas the PIO stated that information document is ready but for miscommunication of address of the appellant it could not be delivered.

It is observed that the PIO cum BDO Pasighat has not communicated to the applicant in writing the availability of information document and for deposition of prescribed cost of the document to the bank through treasury challan. The FAA cum Deputy Commissioner has not taken any action on the first appeal on record. Whereas the appellant filed first appeal on 14.03.2023 and second appeal to commission on 21.04.2023 before 90 days since the date of first appeal. I am of the opinion that FAA should hear the case for an appropriate decision and order.

First Appellate Authority (FAA) cum Deputy Commissioner is directed to conduct hearing by summoning both PIO cum BDO Pasighat and the Appellant. Pass an appropriate order in the form of **speaking order** within 60 days from the date of issue of this order to dispose of the case as required at serial no. 38 of the guide for FAA under sub sections (4) and (5) of section 5 of the Right to Information Act 2005 clarified by memorandum No. AR-111/2008 dated 21.08.2008, Deptt. of AR, GoAP.

In any case the PIO does not implement the order passed by the appellate authority, he should bring the matter to the notice of the officer in the public authority competent to take action against the concerned PIO.

The appeal case is closed in the commission with liberty of the appellant to file afresh an appeal if aggrieved by the decision of FAA.

Furnish copies to the parties.

Sd/-  
(Dani Gamboo)  
Information Commissioner

Memo No.APIC-344/2023/999  
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar the 9... April 2024

1. The FAA cum Deputy Commissioner Pasighat East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh. Pin: 791102

2. The PIO-Cum-BDO, Pasighat, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh. Pin: 791102
3. Shri Dongru Tania, near Takar Complex, PO/PS: Naharlagun, Papumpare Dist. A.P (M) 7005481002, Pin: 791110
4. Computer Programmer, Itanagar, APIC, to upload in APIC, website.
5. Office copy.

  
Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar  
**Registrar**  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar.