



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR

File No. APIC-432/2024(Appeal)

Shri Pisa Tapam

G-Extension Naharlagun,
PO/PS Naharlagun,
Papumpare District A.P
Pin: 791110 (M) 8413931088

Appellant

Versus

1. PIO,

o/o the Block Development Officer

(BDO) CD Block Nyapin
Kurung Kumey District A.P
Pin Code: 791118

Respondents

2. FAA,

Deputy Commissioner (DC)

Kurung Kumey District
A.P Pin Code: 791118

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 13.03.2025

Date of Decision: 13.03.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on	:	17.08.2024
SPIO replied on	:	Not on record
First appeal filed on	:	07.10.2024
First Appellate Authority's Order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal filed on	:	10.12.2024

Information sought:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 17.08.2024 seeking following information regarding PMKSY-WOC 2.0-11/2021-2022 at Bipey Hochang at Lumtey under Hiya-I GP, hopy at Sango and Panung under Nyapin.

Furnish the following documents within 15 days

1. Furnish the Technical sanction order copy.
2. Furnish Name & Address of contractors/firm/name of Firm owner/Proprietor.
3. Furnish the NIT copy (if possible).
4. Furnish the details bid documents of contractor (Technical & Financial)
5. Furnish Name of work with Geo-tag report of each project.
6. Details of Payment with money receipt of contractors i.e cheque book, counterfoil/deposit challan.
7. Give account statement of department.
8. Give DPR copy.
9. Give MB Book.
10. Give First and Final Bill.
11. Give Photocopy of work site from starting to end/Certified photocopy of work site by concern officer.
12. Furnish all the documents in Certified True copy.

The following were present.

Appellant : Present through online. He states that he has not received any information from the PIO.

Respondent PIO : Absent.

FAA : Represented by Shri Nangram Pingkap, ADC. He has agreed to furnish the sought information to the appellant and he has requested for some more time as they are busy for march ending.

Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, hearing the parties and perusal of the records, observes that FAA has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21st August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority. Therefore, the **FAA – Deputy Commissioner**, Kurung Kumey District, following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within three weeks from the date of receipt of this order i.e **on or before 03.04.2025.**

Liberty is given to the appellant to file 2nd appeal afresh if not satisfied with the decision of the FAA / or PIO has not provided satisfactory information after order issued by the FAA. Fee for such 2nd appeal, if done, shall be exempted.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly at Commission.

**Sd/-
Dani Gamboo
Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC


Date:

Memo No. APIC-432/2024/ 1667

Dated Itanagar the 19 March, 2025.

Copy to:

1. The Computer Programmer, APIC Itanagar with request to upload in APIC website and mail to concerned departmental email id.
2. Office copy.


Registrar / Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar