



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR

APIC-No.721/2023(Appeal)

Shri Kamang Dupak

Yemsing Village PO: Pangin
PS: Boleng Siang District AP.
(M) 8837251939
Pin: 791102.

Appellant

Versus

**PIO,
District Panchayat Development
officer (DPDO)**

Boleng Siang District AP.
Pin: 791102.

Respondent

Date of Hearing: 07.10.2024
Date of Decision: 07.10.2024

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

The following were present: -

RTI application filed on	:	15.03.2023
SPIO replied on	:	not on record
First appeal filed on	:	20.04.2023
First Appellate Authority's Order	:	not on record
Second Appeal filed on	:	02.08.2023

Information sought:

Details of Information:

1. Total fund received under 14th & 15th FC to Slang District, Arunachal Pradesh.
2. Certified true copies of Fund allocation made to each ZPM, GPMs under Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.
3. Details of scheme implemented against allocated fund.

4. Photograph, Video graph & geo tag of the said scheme before or after completion of work, and please provide utilization certificate.
5. Name of beneficiaries under respective ZPM, GPMs.
6. Certified true copies of signature made by the beneficiaries in receipt of the beneficiaries either in cash or kind.
7. True certified copies of the payment made to the beneficiaries of Slang District through PFMS mode of payment, cheque, and draft no with date which the payment was made.
8. True certified copies of DPR, technical sanction and administrative approval order of the project.
9. Furnish the certified copies of the certificates regarding mandatory deduction of GST, Royalty, Labour tax, Income tax etc. and details of specific treasury challan bearing head of account deposited in the Exchequer thereof.
10. Copies of NIT publish in local dailies, whether total amount sanction for above project has been fully paid to the executing firm contractor or not? Please specify the reason for yes or no in details.
11. State the mode of procurement of the contract work of the project, if it was awarded through open tender then mention the name of the firm with date of its publication where NIT of said project was advertisement published. If it was not floated NIT then clearly specifies the reason for doing so? And also, furnished work order No and name of the contractor of the work whom the contract was awarded.
12. What is stipulate period for completion of the project and the date with month and year when the work started?
13. Evaluate APSR used in said work.
14. Certified copies of Firm License and GST return file of previous 2 years of contractor whom the contract was awarded.
15. True Certified copies of Bank Performance guarantee.
16. True certified copy of Bid security/Earnest Money deposit under Ref: Rule157 GFR 2005.
17. Entire file noting of this scheme.
18. True certified Copies of measurement book of mention work recorded by the field surveyor.
19. Copy of site verification report.
20. True certified copies of any modification of the project in accordance with nature of works, ff any instruction order {Issued by the executing department contractor from time to time, if any. Please specify reason of diversion of scheme.
21. Whether works were executed by the proprietor? If no please provide certified copy of power of attorney holder.

22. Copy of execution of agreement between Engineer in charge or his authorized representative and the contractor.

Relevant facts emerged during hearing.

Previous Hearings :

First: 21.10.2022, Shri Jacob Siram (DNO) represented the PIO & Appellant present.

In First hearing ordered as: *"The commission has directed the appellant to examine the information furnished by the PIO whether it is correct and complete or not. If the appellant is not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, he shall file a written submission to the PIO about specific subject for specific period with a copy to the commission".*

The following were present.

Appellant : Absent.
Respondent PIO : Represented by Shri Jacob Siram, DNO(PR)

Statement of Appellant : ----

Statement of PIO : Representative of PIO states that information was already furnished to the appellant on 30.11.2023

Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, hearing and perusal of the records, observes that the PIO has already furnished the information to the appellant on 30.11.2023. The appellant has not filed any further written submission to the PIO or to this commission.

The appeal case is closed and disposed of accordingly at Commission.

**Sd/-
Dani Gamboo
Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar, APIC


Date:

Memo No. APIC-721/2023/882

Dated Itanagar the ...⁸ Oct.' 2024.

Copy to:

1. The Computer Programmer, APIC Itanagar with request to upload in APIC website and mail to concerned departmental email id.
2. Office copy.

For 
Registrar / Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.