

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)

(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Dani Gamboo)

AN APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

APIC-No.436/2023(Appeal)

Appellant

Shri Tania June C/o Post Office Naharlagun Papumpare District A.P Pin: 791110. (M) 8131848230.

Versus

Respondents

- 1. PIO cum BDO CD Block Pipsorang Kra-Dadi District A.P. Pin: 791118.
- 2. The FAA cum Director RD Itanagar Papumpare District A.P. Pin Code 791111.

Date 16.08.2024

JUDGEMENT / ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of the Section 19 of the RTI Act. 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Tania June on 30.01.2023 filed an RTI application in Form-A to the PIO cum BDO, CD Block Pipsorang, Kra-Dadi District AP, whereby, seeking various information as quoted in Form-A application.

Appellant being not received information from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority cum Director RD, GoAP Itanagar on 24.03.2023.

Appellant again having not received the required information and decision from FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh information Commission on 15.05.2023. The appellant has not attached any order of decision or response from the FAA.

The Registry of the Commission (APIC), on receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC-No.436/2023 (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, this matter came up for hearing before the Commission Court today on 16.08.2024. Notice of hearing dated 28.06.2024 were served to FAA, PIO and the Appellant.

In this hearing of the appeal on 16.08.2024 the respondents PIO, BDO, CD Block Pipsorang, Kra-Dadi District AP is absent & FAA Director RD, Itanagar GoAP Itanagar Papumpare District AP is represented by APIO Smti Tongyang Anu, EO (Credit) with authorization letter from concerned officer. The appellant Shri Tania June appeared.

Heard the parties.

Appellant states that neither he has received any information from the PIO -BDO Pipsorang nor FAA - Director, Rural Development has heard the appeal.

On inquiry as to why the FAA has not heard the appeal, the representative of the FAA could not explain. Thus, she has not submitted anything tangible.

This inaction of the FAA is negligence to implementation of provisions of RTI Act 2005 which was enacted to promote transparency and accountability in the working of every public authority and also to contain corruption and to hold governments and their instrumentalities accountable to the governed.

On the basis of Arunachal Pradesh Right to Information (Appeal Procedure) Rule 2005 and ... as laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21st August, 2008,... adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

The commission observes that under section 19(1) of the RTI Act. 2005, for the principle of natural justice, it is mandatory for the FAA to summon the appellant and PIO, give fair opportunity of being heard and pass speaking order on merit. Since, it is not done, the case is pre-mature to be considered as an appeal under section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

2

Therefore, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and Director, RD, Itanagar GoAP Itanagar Papumpare District AP, following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Hence, this appeal is remanded to the First Appellate Authority (FAA), the Director (RD).

In view of the above facts and circumstances I find this appeal is fit to be disposed of and closed at commission with giving liberty to the appellant to file appeal afresh if aggrieved by the decision of the FAA. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of and closed once for all.

Judgement / Order pronounced in the Open Court of this Commission today this 16th day of August' 2024. Each copy of the Judgement / Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission / Court on this 16th day of August' 2024.

Sd/-

(Dani Gamboo) State Information Commissioner APIC, Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-436/2023/2455

Dated Itanagar the ?..... August' 2024.

- 1. The FAA cum Director RD Itanagar Papumpare District A.P. 791111.
- 2. The PIO cum BDO CD Block Pipsorang Kra-Dadi District A.P. 791118.
- 3. Shri Tania June C/o Post Office Naharlagun Papumpare District A.P Pin: 791110. (M) 8131848230.

4. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.

5. Office copy.

Registrar / Deputy Registrar APIC, <u>Itanagar</u> Deputy Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagar

3