



सूचना का

अधिकार

RIGHT TO

INFORMATION

**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)**

**ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**

**Vide Case No.APIC-1118/2023**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI KHOPEY THALEY, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Mamu Sonu

Vill:-Sood , PO/PS:- Naharlagun, District:- Papumpare, ..... **Appellant.**

Arunachal Pradesh.

**-VERSUS-**

PIO Cum- PD/DPDO, Seppa Division , East Kameng District. .... **Respondent.**

Arunachal Pradesh.

**Judgment/Order: 24/06/2024**

### **JUDGMENT/ORDER**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of section 19 of RTI, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Mamu Sonu on **26/09/2023** filed an RTI application under Form- 'A' before the PIO Cum- PD/DPDO, Seppa Division , East Kameng District, Govt. Of Arunachal Pradesh whereby seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application.

The matter came up for hearing before the Commission i.e., on **24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2024** related to the **APIC NO-1118/2023 (Appeal)**. Appellant Shri Mamu Sonu is present and the PIO Cum- PD/DPDO, Seppa Division , East Kameng District is absent.


The appeal file by Shri Shri Mamu Sonu **APIC NO-1118/2023** is remand back to the First Appellate Authority on the following ground. No any order of hearing regarding the rejection of hearing of the appeal in the First Appellate Authority has been enclosed in the case record. It seems that no any hearing was done in the First Appellate Authority.

Under section 19 (1) of the Act, the First Appellate Authority (FAA), the Intermediate level, has to adjudicate on the Appeal, if any, filed by information seeker against the decision of the PIO.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GOI and the state govt., adjudicate on the appeals under the RTI Act is Quasi judicial function. It is therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

The First Appellate Authority (FAA), following the principle of natural justice, should conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to the both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter must pass reasoned and speaking order on merits within 30 days from the date of receipt of the appeal or else the action of the FAA would be considered as procedural lapse on the FAA.

Further, it is noticed that the Appellant in most case do not wait for the order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and directly prefer appeals before the 2<sup>nd</sup> Appellate Authority without attaching a copy of order pass by the First Appellate Authority (FAA) unintelligently. Here, it is germane to note that for availing 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before the 2<sup>nd</sup> Appellate Authority, the Appellate has been given 90 days' time from the date of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal, if he/she is dissatisfied with decision of the First Appellate

  
**State Information Commissioner**  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar.  
24/06/2024

Authority (FAA), must be accompanied by the orders passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA).

The appeal is, accordingly remand back to the First Appellate Authority for adjudication and passing an appropriate order who, being the officer senior in rank to the PIO and well versed with the knowledge of the functioning of the department, shall apply his mind and go into the aspects like what kind of information was sought by appellant in his application, whether the same was and could be provided or whether the same is exempted under the relevant provisions of section 8 of the Act or whether the information relates to matters covered by section 11 of the RTI Act etc, and then pass a speaking order giving justification for his decision within 3 (three) weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Therefore perusing the case record, the Commission deemed fit to remand back the appeal case APIC NO.1118/2023 to First Appellate Authority for proper hearing. The case is disposed off, with liberty to appellant to prefer second appeal if dissatisfied or aggrieved by the decision of the First Appellate Authority for which no fees need be paid

(Khopey Thaley)

State Information Commissioner  
APIC, Itanagar

Dated Itanagar, the... June 2024.

Memo. No. APIC-1118/2023

Copy:

1. PIO Cum- PD/DPDO, Seppa Division , East Kameng District. , Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please..
2. Shri Mamu Sonu, Vill:-Sood , PO/PS:- Naharlagun, District:- Papumpare, , Arunachal Pradesh for information. **Contact No. 9436215521.**
3. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office copy.

(Khopey Thaley)

State Information Commissioner  
APIC, Itanagar

State Information Commissioner  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar.