सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

**An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**

**Vide Case No.APIC-185/2024**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Lokam Namdu ..... **Appellant**

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-District Horticulture Officer,
Koloriang, K/Kumey District,
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh ..... **Respondent.**

**Order**:**08.04.2025**.

**JUDGEMENT**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Lokam Namdu on **03.02.2024** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum-District Horticultural Officer, Koloriang Kurung Kumey District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **03/01.2024** the Appellants, again having not received the required information(s) from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **26.09.2024** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Appeal registered it as **APIC No. 185/2024** (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for four times i.e **on 11/06/2024, 26/11/2024, 04/02/2025 & 08/04/2025**. In this fourth hearing of the appeal on **8th day of April 2025**. The PIO present in person but Appellant Lokam Namdu found absent during the hearing for the consecutive second time as he was also absent on the last hearing on 4/02/2025.

**Heard the PIO.**

The PIO submitted that he has already furnished the Appellant all the information as sought in his form'A' application and the receipt of the same, has been confirmed through watsapp message also. The PIO further submitted that the Appellant even told the PIO not to come for hearing as he want to withdraw his appeal and therefore the PIO requested the Commission to dispose this appeal on ground of in receipt of all information sought from the PIO, completely.

The Consecutive absence of the Appellant in the hearing reflects his non seriousness of his appeal and therefore;

In view of the above facts and circumstances I find this appeal fit to be disposed and closed. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this **8th day of Apri'2025**. Copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Court on this **8th day of April, 2025.**

Sd/-
**(Vijay Taram)**
State Information Commissioner
APIC-Itanagar

**Memo.No.APIC-185/A/2024**/704 **Dated Itanagar, the ..17... April, 2025.**

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-District Horticulture Officer, Koloriang, K/Kumey District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code-791118.**
2. Shri Lokam Namdu, Kankar Nallah, PO/PS-Naharlagun, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9326166831**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy.

16/04/25

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar