

CHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, APIC

ITANAGAR

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 Vide Case No. Appeal-1011/2023,

## BEFORE THE COURT OF SHRI KHOPEY THALEY, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Shri Palen Laa & Smti Yukar Yaga .....

Appellant

Versus

PIO-cum-District Disaster Management Officer, Raga Respondent

Date of hearing:29/01/2025Date of decision/Judgment:29/01/2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Shri Khopey Thaley Relevant facts emerging from Appeal:

RTI application file on	:	14/08/2023
PIO replied on	:	
First appeal file on	:	14/09/2023
First Appellate Authority's order	:	
2 <sup>nd</sup> Appeal dated	:	25/10/2023

## Information sought :

The appellant file an RTI Application dated 014/08/2023 seeking Details regarding Relief Fund for damage like Cardamom, banana, bamboo etc. in Kamle District of Arunachal Pradesh.

As per the case record, PIO has never conducted the hearing under his jurisdiction.

Being dissatisfied, the appellant filed First Appeal dated 14/09/2023. No hearing has been conducted by the First Appellate in this regard. Feeling aggrieved and dissatisfied, appellant approached the Commission with instant Second Appeal.

## The following were present.

Appellant : Shri Palen Laa present in person.

Respondent : PIO-cum-DDMO, Raga attended hearing through VC.

mation Commi Itanagar. Arunacha

## JUDGEMENT ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellants Shri Tagi Ruti on **014.08.2023** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-cum-District Disaster Management Officer, Raga, Kamle District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on **14.09.2023**, Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **25.10.2023** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 1011/2023** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for first time i.e on 29/01/2025. In this hearing of the appeal on 29<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2025, the appellant present in person and the PIO-cum-District Disaster Management Officer, Raga attended hearing through VC. The appellant is directed to file before the F.A.A for the information under Section 6 of RTI Act which he is seeking. The FAA-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Kamle District Raga, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh and PIO-cum-District Disaster Management Officer, Raga, Kamle District, Arunachal Pradesh is directed to take up case and dispose as per Section-7 of RTI Act, 2005 within 30 days on receipt of the request.

On query made by the Court, the PIO has stated that no first appeal has been received from the appellant so far. So, no hearing done in the First Appellate Authority.

It is seen from the case record that no any documents seen in the case record which shows that hearing has been conducted before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Raga, Kamle District.

Under Section 19(1) of the Act, the First Appellate Authority (FAA), the intermediate level, has to adjudicate on the Appeal, if any, filed by the information seekers against the decision of the PIO.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GOI and the State Govt., adjudication on the appeals under the RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

The First Appellate Authority (FAA), following the principle of natural justice, should conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter must pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within 30 days from the date of receipt of the appeal or else the action of the FAA would be considered as procedural lapse on the part of the FAA.

Further, it is noticed that the Appellant in most case do not wait for the orders of the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and directly prefer appeals before the 2<sup>nd</sup> Appellate Authority without attaching a copy of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA) unintelligently.

ation Commission Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Here, it is germane to note that for availing  $2^{nd}$  appeal before the  $2^{nd}$  Appellate Authority, the Appellant has been given 90 days' time from the date of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The  $2^{nd}$  appeal, if he/she is dissatisfied with the decision of Appellate Authority (FAA), must be accompanied by the orders passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA).

The appeal is accordingly remand back to the First Appellate Authority for adjudication and passing an appropriate order who, being the officer senior I n rank to the PIO and well versed with the knowledge of the functioning of the department, shall apply his mind and go into the aspects like what kind of information was sought by appellant in his application, whether the same and could be provided or whether the same is exempted under the relevant provisions of section 8 of the Act or whether the information relates to matter covered by Section 11 of the RTI Act etc. and then pass a speaking order giving justification for his decision within 3 (three) weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Therefore, perusing the case records, the Commission deemed fit to remand back he appeal case APIC No. 1011/2023 to First Appellate Authority for proper hearing. The case is disposed off with liberty to appellant to prefer second appeal if dissatisfied or aggrieved by the decision of the First Appellate Authority for which no fees need be paid.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 29<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2025. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 29<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2025.

(Khopey Thaley) State Information Commissioner APIC, Itanagar. Dated Itanagar, the ...32. January, 2025.

Memo.No.APIC-1011/2023/267 Copy to:

- 1. The FAA-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Kamle District Raga, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh to summon both the parties and hear the appeal and dispose off the case within 30 days on receipt of this order.
- 2. The PIO-cum-District Disaster Management Officer, Raga, Kamle District for information and necessary action. Pin code- 791120
- Shri Palen Laa & Smti Yukar Yaga, C/o Jollang near Sonam Nursery, Itanagar, Papum Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. Contact No. 7085354892
- 3. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
- 4. Office Copy.

(Khopey Thaley)

State Information Commissioner APIC, Itanagar. State Information Commission Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagar.