



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)**  
**ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**  
**Vide Case No.APIC-957/2023**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE INFORMATION**  
**COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Riya Taram & others ..... **Appellants.**

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-Cum-EE, WRD, Bomdial  
Division, West Kameng District  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh ..... **Respondent.**

**Judgment/Order:** **12.11.2024.**

**JUDGMENT/ORDER**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellants Shri Riya Taram, Shri Takam Sakap on **29/9/8/2023** filed an RTI application in form 'A' before the PIO-cum EE (WRD), Bomdila Division Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' applicant. The Appellant being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 21/8/2023. Appellant again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 20/7/ and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the complaint registered it as **APIC No- 957/203 (Appeal)** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up before the Commission, on 12/11/2024 wherein the PIO authorized the APIO with an authorization letter and the APIO present during the hearing but the Appellants Shri Riya Taram, Shri Takam Sakap found absent without intimating the reason to the Commission for their inability to attend the hearing.

Heard the APIO

The APIO stated that he has already intimated to the Appellants on dated 23/3/2024 for the fact, that the information(s) to be provided at a time sought by them in their Form 'A' application is too voluminous and not specific and spanning for 8 yrs time w.e.f 2016 to 2024, even the PIO are intimated to the Appellant to resubmit a fresh application by being specific about the information(s) sought for rather than being ambiguous and seeking voluminous information(s) whereby disturbing the functioning of the office of the PIO in searching and compiling huge information spanning to 8(eight) years, but till date no fresh application received from the Appellant. In the meantime the Appellants withdrew their application on **23/02/2024.**

The copy of the letter of withdrawal by the Appellants were submitted before the Commission by the PIO. After hearing the PIO & going through the available documents, the Commission observed that, the Appellants are no more interested for their appeal as they have submitted a letter of withdrawal of their application to the PIO.

In view of the above facts and circumstances I find this appeal fit to be disposed off and closed. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of Nov ,2024. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.


Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this **12<sup>h</sup> day of Nov 2024.**

Sd/-  
(Vijay Taram)  
State Information Commissioner  
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-957/2023/ 442  
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar, the ... 22 Nov.,2024.

1. PIO-Cum-EE, WRD, Bomdila Division, West Kameng District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
2. Shri Riya Tram and Takar Sakap, Riang Restaurant Jullang, Near Catholic Church, P/pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information please.
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy.

  
Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.  
Deputy Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar