

### ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-922/2023

# BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

..... Appellant. Shri Buli Bhai -VERSUS-PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, Hydro Power, Raga Division, Kamle District, ..... Respondent. Arunachal Pradesh

Judgment/Order: **04.03.2025**.

## JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Buli Bhai on 06/07/2023 filed an RTI application in Form- 'A' before the PIO-cum-EE, (Hydro Power)Raga Division, Kamle District, Govt. of Arunachal | Pradesh. Whereby seeking various information as quoted in Form 'A' application. The Appellant being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 10/08/2023. Appellant again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 21/09/2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC) having receipt of the complaint registered it as APIC- No- 922/2023 (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 3 (three) consecutive times, on 05.11.2024, 17.12.2024 & 04.03.2025. Till the final hearing of the Appeal the Appellant and the PIO are found absent without intimating the Commission the reasons for their inability to attend the hearing.

#### Hearing:

The Appeal was put up for hearing on, 05.11.2024, 17.12.2024 and 04.03.2025 and upon reviewing the continuous absence of both parties, this Court finds the following:

The Appellant absent for 3 (three) consecutive times despite of issuing summon notice, i.e on 05.11.2024, 17.12.2024 & 04.03.2025, which makes the Commission believe that the Appellant is not serious on his Appeal, even after warning the Appellant that upon his absence in the hearing, this Appeal will be decided ex-parte. However the Appellant did not appear before the Court, despite due notice being served in accordance with procedural requirements under RTI, Act, 2005.

### Order;

In view of the above facts and circumstance the Commission dismiss this Appeal exparte. And, accordingly, this Appeal stands dismissed and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 4th day of March' 2025. Copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 4th day of March' 2025.

> Sd/-(Vijay Taram) State Information Commissioner APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-922/2023/632

Dated Itanagar, the ......March, 2025.

Copy to:

- 1. PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer, Dept. of Hydro Power, Raga, Kamle District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. Pin Code-791120.
- 2. Shri Tamchi Gungte, Near KV2 School, Chimpu. Itanagar, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. Contact No. 7085775809
- 3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
  4. Office Copy

Registrar/Dy. Registrar APIC, Itanagar.

Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagar.