



सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION



सत्यमेव जयते

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR

File No. APIC-20/2025(Appeal)

Shri Byabang Bhute

Transport Colony, Karsingsa
Papumpare District A.P
Pin: 791123. (M) 9366769194.

Appellant

Versus

**1. PIO,
O/o the Directorate of Sport**

Chimpu, Itanagar
Papumpare District A.P.
Pin Code: 791113

Respondents

**2. FAA,
Director of Sport GoAP, Chimpu**

Itanagar, Papumpare District A.P.
Pin Code: 791113

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 20.03.2025

Date of Decision: 20.03.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on	:	21.10.2024
SPIO replied on	:	Not on record
First appeal filed on	:	22.11.2024
First Appellate Authority's Order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal filed on	:	23.12.2024

Information sought:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 21.10.2024 seeking following information regarding details of the Stadium constructed at Mallo Tarin Govt. higher secondary school, Itanagar.

Details of the Information required: -

1. Name of the project and total budget of the project, Stadium Constricted within the school Compound of Malo Tarin Govt. higher secondary school, Itanagar.
2. Work order copy and name of the farm owner.
3. NOC order copy obtained from Director of Education Department.
- 4.

The following were present.

Appellant : Present. He states that he has not received any information documents from the PIO nor FAA has heard the appeal case.

Respondent PIO : Absent.

FAA : Absent.

Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, hearing the parties and perusal of the records, observes that FAA has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21st August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority. Therefore, the **FAA – Director Sports Chimpu, Itanagar, Papumpare District**, following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order **i.eon or before 03.04.2025.**

The appellant is at liberty to file 2nd appeal afresh if not satisfied with the decision of the FAA / or PIO has not provided satisfactory information after order issued by the FAA. Fee for such 2nd appeal, if done, shall be exempted.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly at Commission.

**Sd/-
Dani Gamboo
Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar

APIC

Date:

Memo No. APIC-20/2025/670

Dated Itanagar the 21.. March.'2025.

Copy to:

1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.
2. Office copy.


Registrar / Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commissioner
Itanagar.