

**ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**

**Vide Case No.APIC-156/2024**



**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE  
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Mamu Sono

..... Appellant

**-VERSUS-**

PIO-Cum-Project Director, DPDO, DRDA,  
Seppa, East Kameng District,  
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh

..... Respondent.

**Order: 26.08.2025.**

**JUDGEMENT**

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Mamu Sono on 23/01/2024, filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum- DPDO Seppa East Kameng, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27/02/2024 the Appellant, again having not received the required information(s) from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 16/09/2024 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Appeal registered it as APIC No. 156/2024 and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for three times i.e on 28/01/2025, 01/04/2025 & 26/08/2025.

**Details of Information sought by the Appellant.**

1. Furnish the copies of MoU/ MoA agreement.
2. Furnish the copies of total sanction amount order letter.
3. Furnish the copies of executed contractors/ firms name.
4. Furnish the copies of acceptance letter awarded to the contractor/firm.
5. Furnish the copies of financial, technical and final bidding documents.
6. Furnish the copies of works experience documents of the contractor/firm.
7. Furnish the copies of U/C submitted by the department.
8. Furnish the copies of monitoring report, money receipt and completion report.
9. Furnish the copies of name of the 3rd Party's monitoring agency along with guidelines for 3rd Party monitoring or implementation of above mentioned schemes.
10. Furnish the copies of news paper cutting published in any local or national dailies along with front page of the paper.
11. Furnish the copies of detail of GST Payment bills paid.
12. Furnish the copies of PA Holder and name of firm/company in details.
13. Furnish the copies of colour Videos and Photos Clips were taking before, during and after executed the works.
14. Furnish the copies of Geo Tagged (Before, during and after executed the works)
15. Furnish the copies of worker order and total numbers of tender participated list and total selected firms for awarded the above mentioned works.
16. Furnish the copies Concept Paper/DPR/Estimate and TS Copies in details,
17. Furnish the copies of MLA / Minister Note Sheet for winner of the tenders.
18. Furnish the copies of NIT and NIQ submission letters.
19. Furnish the copies cheques issued to contractors, firm, agency and company with cheque number in details.

In this final hearing held on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025, related to the APIC No. 156/2024. The Appellant Shri Mamu Sonu is found absent during the hearing without intimating to the Commission the reason for his inability to attend the hearing. The PIO-cum Project Director



(DPDO) Seppa East Kameng Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, is represented by Achung Gyadi, the APIO. during the hearing.

**Heard the APIO.**

The APIO stated that he had in the last hearing of this same appeal on 1/4/2025 stated that this appeal is a very old case which was decided during the time of the Hon'ble State Information Commissioner Shri Genom Takseng, but in the last hearing he could not provide convincing evidence for the fact that the information have been provided to the Appellant and therefore, the Commission had fixed another date to ascertain his statements and today in the 3<sup>rd</sup> hearing the APIO has produced the proof which is the letter written to the Appellant that the information are ready and to collect them by paying the fees for which the letter was sent through postal registered letter on 29/12/2021.

After hearing the APIO the Commission hereby observes that the APIO had in fact written to the Appellant on 29/12/2021 that the information totaling to 7734 pages and for which fees amounting to Rs 35,468 (Rupees thirty five thousand four hundred sixty eight) only to be paid by the Appellant, which till today remains unpaid and unattended by the Appellant even after 3 years running.

Going by the above facts, and circumstances the Commission is convinced by the procedure and steps taken by the PIO, and the non-responsive attitude of the Appellant all these years, the Commission hereby dismiss this appeal with no liberty given to Appellant for filing fresh application under RTI Act 2005 for the same subject/set of information.

Judgment order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 26<sup>th</sup> day of August 2025, copy of judgment order be furnished to the both parties.


Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 26<sup>th</sup> day of August 2025.

Sd/-  
(Vijay Taram)  
State Information Commissioner  
APIC-Itanagar

Memo.No.APIC-156/A/2024/864 Dated Itanagar, the 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2025.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-Project Director, DPDO, DRDA, Seppa, E/Kameng District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code-790102.**
2. Shri Mamu Sono, Sood Village, Naharlagun, P/Pare District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 9436215521**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy

  
Registrar/Dy. Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar