



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-955/2023

**BEFORE THE COURT OF SHRI KHOPEY THALEY, STATE INFORMATION
COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Riya Taram and Takam Sakap
C/o Riang Restaurant, Jollang. **Appellant.**

-VERSUS-

PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (WRD), Itanagar
Papum Pare District **Respondent**

Judgment/Order: 31.07.2024.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellants Shri Riya Taram and Shri Takam Sakap on **19/07/2023** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (WRD), Itanagar Division, Papum Pare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on **21/06/2023** Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **29/09/2023** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as **APIC No. 955/2023** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 5 (five) times i.e on 09/10/2023, 18/12/2023, 29/01/2024, 10/04/2024, 12/06/2024 and 31/07/2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 31st day of July, 2024, PIO present but the appellant found absent without any intimation to the Commission. After going through the case record, it is seen that the first appeal RTI application was filed on 24/08/2023 and after receipt of the first appeal, reply was sent to the appellant, Shri Riya Taram on 14/09/2023, stating that to collect information from the office of the PIO on depositing an amount of Rs. 1484/- only as charges of photo copy of the document through Treasury Challan under Head of Account "0070".

In the last hearing i.e. 4th hearing on 12/6/2024, both the parties were present before the Commission and appellant was directed to collect the documents sought by him from the PIO on payment of Rs. 1484/- @ Rs. 2/- per page for photo copy charges. In spite of giving him sufficient chance to collect the information/document, the appellant has failed


31/07/24
State Information Commissioner

Contd...2/-

to collect the information knowingly. The Commission deemed that the appellant has no more interest in getting the information and wasting the time of the Commission.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, I find this appeal fit to be disposed off. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and closed once for all.

However, the appellant is at liberty to file appeal in appropriate higher authority, if he feels aggrieved by the decision of the Commission.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court by the Commission today on this **31st day of July, 2024**. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this **31st th day of July, 2024**.

(Khohey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.
Dated Itanagar, the ... August 2024.

Memo.No.APIC-955/2023/124
Copy to:

1. PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (WRD), Itanagar Division, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar for kind information and necessary action please.
2. Shri Ruya Taram and Takam Sakap, C/o Riang Restaurant, Near Catholic Church, Jollang, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information & Necessary action. **Contact No.9388103387**
3. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office Copy.

(Khohey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

State Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.