



ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No.APIC-201/2024

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri Nyape Tao

..... **Appellant.**

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-District Planning Officer (DPO),
Likabali, Lower Siang District,
Arunachal Pradesh

..... **Respondent.**

Judgment/Order: 19.09.2024.

ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Shri Nyape Tao on **15.02.2024** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum-DPO, Likabali, Lower Siang District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.03.2024** the Appellants, again having not received the required information(s) from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **02.05.2024** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Appeal registered it as **APIC No. 201/2024** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for four times i.e on **13/06/2024, 08/08/2024 & 19.09.2024**. In this hearing of the appeal on 19th day of September, 2024. The PIO present during the hearing but the Appellant heard through online mode.

Heard both the parties.

The PIO stated before the Commission that the information(s) sought by the Appellant in his Form 'A' application is ready in his office and he also intimated to the Appellant on dated 05.02.2024 to collect the information from the PIO's office after depositing the requisite fees of Rs.27/- (rupees twenty-seven only) and on dated 15.02.2024, the EAC-cum-PIO has also intimated to the Appellant for collecting the information but till date the Appellant failed to turn up. The same documents had also been produced before the Commission in last hearing on dated 13.06.2024.

The Appellant also admitted that the PIO has intimated to him for collection of the information(s) sought by depositing the requisite fees as mentioned above but he denied to collect by claiming to be served to him free of cost.

After hearing both the parties and going through the documents produced by the PIO, the Commission ordered;

- (i) The PIO to provide all the information(s) to the Appellant free of cost immediately as the information(s) are already ready in his office.
- (ii) The Appellant to collect the information(s) from the office of the PIO, if the information(s) are not received completely he is given liberty to file a fresh application on the same subject. Therefore, this appeal is closed and disposed.

After hearing the Commission, the Appellant has agreed and has requested the Commission for disposal/closure of his appeal.

In view of the above facts and circumstances I find this appeal fit to be disposed and closed. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this **19th day of September, 2024**. Copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this **19th day of September, 2024**.

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-201/2024/385
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar, the ...3... October, 2024.

1. PIO-Cum-DPO, Likabali, L/Siang District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code-791125**.
2. Shri Nyape Tao, Bali Village, PO/PS-Likabali, L/Siang District Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 6009093687**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy.

Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar