



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No.APIC-135/2024**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE INFORMATION
COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Charu Tatung
Village Jollang
PO/PS Itanagar
District P/Pare District, A.P.

..... **Appellant.**

-VERSUS-

PIO- Cum Director Town Planning
Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanaagr-791111

..... **Respondent.**

Judgment/Order: 04/06/2024

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Charu Tatung on **30.01.2024** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum- Director Town Planning, Itanagar, P/Pare District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on **04.03.2024** Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **09.04.2024** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Complaint, registered it as **APIC No. 135/2024** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for once i.e on 04.06.2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 4th day of June, 2024. The Appellant Shri Charu Tatung and the PIO present during the hearing.

Heard both the parties.

The Commission after hearing both the parties and going through the available documents, observed that the matter is still pending before the Court of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), for the reason that the notice for hearing the Appellant in the Court of the FAA was not delivered or Communicated to the Appellant by the office of the FAA

properly. And resulting from the communication gap from the FAA and misunderstanding by the Appellant, the Appellant has filed this Second Appeal before the State Information Commission, which is in fact premature to be heard by the Commission.

Therefore, the Commission using its judicial mind directed the FAA/PIO to intimate the Appellant, the date for next hearing of the appeal before the FAA, wherein the PIO be ordered to provide the information as sought, to the Appellant on which, the Appellant too was satisfied with the Judgment of the Commission.

In view of the above facts and circumstances I find this appeal fit to be disposed off and closed. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 4th day of June, 2024. Copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 4th day of June, 2024.

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No APIC-135/2024

Dated Itanagar theJune' 2024

Copy to:-

1. The Director Town Planning, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, for information and necessary action please. Pin Code-791111.
2. The PIO-Cum O/o the Director Town Planning, for information.
3. Shri Charu Tatung, village Jollang PO/PS Itanagar, for information please. Contact No.9402275313
4. The Computer Operator, APIC for uploading on the website of APIC please.
5. Office copy.


Registrar/Dy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar