



# ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC) ITANAGAR

#### APIC-No.83/2024(Appeal)

**Shri Tania June** 

**Appellant** 

C/o Post Office Naharlagun Papumpare District A.P

Pin: 791110. (M) 8131848230.

Versus

PIO,
District Medical Officer (DMO)

Palin Kradadi District Govt. of AP.

Pin: 791118.

Respondents

FAA,

**Deputy Commissioner (DC)** 

Kradadi District Govt. of AP.

Pin: 791118.

Date of Hearing:

24.10.2024

Date of Decision:

24.10.2024

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER:

Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerge from appeal:

RTI application filed on

09.10.2023

SPIO replied on

Not on record

First appeal filed on

05.12.2023

First Appellate Authority's Order

Not on record

Second Appeal filed on

01.02.2024

## Information sought:

Regarding appointment of under Field worker (FW)/Regular Field worker/ Supervisor Field worker (SFW)/ANM of entire Kradadi district.

1. Appointment order copies.

- 2. Joining report copies.
- 3. Class X passed certificate copies.
- 4. Seniority list copies.
- 5. DPC copies.
- 6. Advertisement and local newspaper copies.

:

7. Class XII passed certificate copies.

Period: from 2010 to till date

## Relevant facts emerging during hearing:

The following were present -

**Appellant** 

Absent.

Respondent PIO

Present.

Respondent FAA

Absent.

Statement of Appellant

--

Statement of PIO

: States that information pertaining to ANM is kept ready and intimated to the appellant over telephone but he did not turn up to collect the information. PIO further states that appointments of FW / RFW and SFW are at Directorate office. FAA has not heard the appeal.

Statement of FAA

.

#### Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, hearing and perusal of the records, observes that FAA has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him / her by following statutory procedure laid down in the Arunachal Pradesh Right to Information (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. So, this appeal case is decided and determined in the absence of the FAA and Appellant today.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2008,. adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

The instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority (FAA). Therefore, the FAA – **DC Kradadi district**, Palin; following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within three weeks i.e on or before 14.11.2024 from the date of issue of this order.

Liberty is given to the appellant to file 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal afresh if not satisfied with the decision of the FAA / or PIO has not provided satisfactory information after order issued by the FAA. Fee for such 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal, if done, shall be exempted.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly at Commission.

Sd/(Dani Gamboo)
Information Commission

Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar, APIC

Date:

Memo No. APIC-83/2024/ 40 5

Dated Itanagar the .... Oct, 2024.

Copy to:

1 Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.

2. Office copy.

Registrar / Dy.Registrar

APIC, Itanagar Deputy Registrar

Arunachal Prudesh Internation Commission Itanagar.