

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC) ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 Vide Case No.APIC-696/2023

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MISS SONAM YUDRON, THE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri Riya Taram &	hri Takam Sakap
Naharlagun.	Appellant

-VERSUS-

Judgment/Order: 21.08.2024.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellants Shri Riya Taram & Shri Takam Sakap on 17.05.2023 filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum-Executive Engineer (RWD), Longding Division, Longding District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.06.2023, Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 28.07.2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC No. 696/2023 and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for 7 (seven) time i.e on 09/10/2024, 29/11/2024,08/01/2024, 02/02/2024, 06/05/2024,19/06/2024 and 21/08/2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 21st day of August, 2024, both the parties found absent without any information to the Commission. The appellant has absent consecutively three hearings on dated 6/5/2024, 19/6/2024 and 21/8/2024.

As per order dated 02/02/2024 on 4th hearing, the PIO has brought all the information and handed over to the appellant and appellant received the same before the Commission and after going through all the information he intimated to the Commission that the information which furnished by the PIO is without proper CTC. The Commission directed the PIO to furnish information to the appellant with proper CTC, Seal and Signature of the PIO.

The Commission also directed the appellant after going through the information intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction to the Commission in the next date of hearing and also directed to be present in person before the Commission in the next date of hearing.

After 4^{th} hearing, the parties were summoned for hearing on 6/5/2024, 19/6/2024 and . 21/8/2024, but failed to comply the direction of the Commission.

Contd..2/-

State Information Commissional
Arthrachal Praces
Italiager.

It is seen that the appellant has been given sufficient chance to intimate before the Commission if he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the PIO. The Commission deems that the appellant has no any objection regarding information provided to him.

Therefore, Commission deemed fit to dispose off the case in absence of the appellant. Accordingly, the appeal case No. APIC-696/2023 is disposed off and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Cousrt by the Commission today on this 21st day of August, 2024. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 21st day of August, 2024.

(Khopey Thaley)

State Information Commissioner

APIC, Itanagar missionar

Dated Itanagar, the 2.6.... August, 2024.

Memo.No.APIC-696/2023/) 6 5 Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum- Executive Engineer (RWD), Longding Division, Longding District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. Pin code: 792131

2. Shri Riya Taram & Shri Takam Sakap, C/o Hotel River View, Naharlagun, Papum Pare District, Arunachal for information and necessary action. Contact No. 9383103387

4. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.

5. Office Copy.

(Khopey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.