



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR

(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Dani Gamboo)

AN APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

APIC-No.471/2023(Appeal) *1314*

Shri Tania June
C/o Post Office Naharlagun
Papumpare District A.P
Pin: 791110.
(M) 8131848230.

Appellant

Versus

1. PIO cum DFO
Aalo West Siang
District Govt. of AP.
Pin: 791001.

Respondents

2. FAA cum PCCF
Itanagar Papumpare
District Govt. of AP.
Itanagar.
Pin: 791111.

Date 09.09.2024

JUDGEMENT / ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of the Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Tania June on 14.02.2023 filed an RTI application in Form-A to the PIO cum DFO, Aalo, West Siang District AP, whereby, seeking various information as quoted in Form-A application.

Appellant being not received information from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority Cum PCCF Itanagar, Papumpare District Govt. of AP on 29.03.2023.

Appellant again having not received the required information on decision from FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 15.05.2023.

The Registry of the Commission (APIC), on receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC-No.471/2023 (Appeal) and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, this matter came up for hearing before the Commission Court today on 09.09.2024. Notice of hearing dated 12.08.2024 were served to PIO and the Appellant.

In this hearing of the appeal on 09.09.2024 the respondents PIO cum DFO, Aalo, West Siang District A P Shri Gopin Padu has attended through VC. FAA – PCCF, Itanagar was absent. The appellant Shri Tania June appeared.

Heard the parties present.

The PIO states that he has sent the information by post and the appellant has received it. He has also stated that letter has been received from FAA – PCCF, Itanagar with copy of appeal letter but the correct First Appellate Authority in the instant case should have been the CCF, Central Arunachal Circle Circle, Pasighat.

The appellant has stated that he has received the information from the PIO but was unable to tell whether the information received from the PIO is in full shape and satisfactory or not.

Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, hearing the parties and perusal of the records, observes that the appellant should examine the information already furnished to him. If any shortfall in the context of the information sought in RTI application form -A, the appellant may seek it from the PIO by filing a written submission. The PIO is directed to comply as and when such written submission is received from the appellant.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly at Commission.

Sd/-
Dani Gamboo
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy


(T. Miso)

Registrar, APIC

Date:

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar

Copy to:

1. The Computer Programmer, APIC Itanagar with request to upload in APIC website and mail to concerned departmental email id.
2. Office copy.