



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH

An appeal case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No.APIC-218/2024

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI VIJAY TARAM, THE STATE INFORMATION
COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

Shri Lazala Singpho **Appellant.**

-VERSUS-

PIO-Cum-EE, PHE & WS Div., Bordumsa,
Changlang, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. **Respondent.**

Order: 29.08.2024.

Order

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the Appellant Lazala Singpho on **25.04.2024** filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum- EE,& WS Division, Bordumsa, P.O- Bordumsa, Changlang District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.05.2024** the Appellant, again having not received the required information(s) from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on **26.07.2024** and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the Appeal registered it as **APIC No. 218/2024** and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for Once i.e on 29.08.2024 In this hearing of the appeal on 29th day of August, 2024. The Appellant Shri Lazala Singpho present during hearing and the APIO heard through online mode.

Heard both the parties.

The PIO stated before the Commission that on dated 26.06.2024 the FAA has conducted a hearing and passed an order that the information(s) as applied by the applicant is very voluminous and not specific and its not possible for the PIO to provide all the information within a month.

After hearing the PIO, and going through the form 'A' application of the Appellant and the order passed by the FAA, the Commission ordered;

- (i) The PIO to provide the serial no. 1 & 2 , which are information(s) pertaining to UC and LOC only to the Appellant;
- (ii) The serial no. 3 may be provided to the Appellant year wise as per the convenience of the PIO.
- (iii) The Appellant to collect the information(s) of serial no. 1 & 2 from the PIO office after paying requisite fees. And accordingly, the Appellant agreed with the Commission.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances I find this appeal fit to be disposed and closed. As both parties agreed and satisfied with judgment passed by this Court. Accordingly, this appeal stands disposed and closed once for all.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 29th day of August, 2024. Copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 29th day of August, 2024.

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC-218/2024

1315

Dated Itanagar, the 29th Sept., 2024.

Copy to:

1. PIO-Cum-EE, PHE & WS, Bordumsa, Changlang District, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please. **Pin Code-792122.**
2. Shri Lazala Singpho, Vill.-Khagam Singpho, PO Miao, Changlang District, Arunachal Pradesh for information please. **Contact No. 8416074230.**
3. The Computer Programmer, APIC for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
4. Office Copy.


Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.
Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar