





<u>ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)</u> <u>ITAN</u>AGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 Vide Case No. APIC-1137(R)24 of 1137/2023. (<u>Or.5, R.3 of CPC</u>)

Shri Likha Tadam, D-Sector, Near Postal Colony, PO/PS Itanagar, District – Papum Pare, Itanagar. Vs PIO, o/o Director of Social Justice Empowerment and Tribal Affairs, Govt. of AP, Itanagar.

.... RESPONDENT.

..... APPELLANT.

<u>ORDER</u>

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Likha Tadam, and registered as APIC No **1137/24** (**R**)of **1137/2023** for non-furnishing of information by the PIO, o/o Director of Social Justice Empowerment and Tribal Affairs, Govt. of AP, PO/PS - Itanagar which was remanded by this Commission vide order dated 14th June, 2024 to the with liberty to the appellant to re-approach this Commission, if aggrieved with the decision of the PIO/FAA.

This particular appeal, earlier registered as APIC NO.1137/23 in the Commission on 03/12/23, was heard on 14/06/23 and vide order dated 14/06/23 the appeal was remanded to the First Appellate Authority (FAA) i.e the Director of Social Justice Empowerment and Tribal Affairs, Govt. of A.P with the direction to adjudicate and pass an appropriate order on the appeal.

The FAA, in compliance of the direction of this Commission, had initiated the hearing of this appeal by issuing notice dated 19/07/24 to the Appellant, Shri Likha Tadam fixing the date of hearing on 22/07/24. However, the F.A.A, vide letter dated 24/07/24, addressed to the Registrar / Dy. Registrar of this Commission, informed that the hearing of appeal was held on 22/07/24 wherein the PIO was present but the Appellant did not turn up. As such the F.A.A, requested that since the Appellant was absent, who was apparently not interested in attending the hearing, the appeal be disposed of as such.

The Appellant, having not received the information to his satisfaction, filed this appeal for the 2nd time in terms of the liberty granted to him in the order dt.14.06.2024 which has been registered as APIC No 1137/24 (R)of 1137/2023 on 05.09.2024 and it was heard on 25/09/24 wherein the Learned Counsel for the Appellant, Advocate Shri Taba Token Khoda Apa and Shri Maryi Doyom (J.T.E) the PIO, Directorate of (SJETA), Govt. of (A.P) were present.

During the course of hearing the PIO reiterated the contents of the aforesaid letter dated 24/07/24 of the F.A.A. However, the Learned Counsel for the Appellant contested the submission of the PIO to say that the hearing notice dated 19/07/23 never reached him or his client due to wrong address in the said hearing notice.

However, since the PIO had brought in 26-point information during the hearing for handing over to the Appellant, this Commission did not find it necessary to advert on the dispute regarding the address of the Appellant.

The PIO submitted that he had brought in whatever documents/information(s) are available in Directorate and submitted that for other documents which are not available with them, he had already requested the o/o the D.H.O, Lower Subansiri District to furnish the same to the Appellant.

After hearing the parties this Commission directed the PIO to collect the remaining informations from the o/o the DHO and provide to the Appellant/Learned Counsel within 15 days of the receipt of this order.

This Commission also directed the Learned Counsel for the Appellant to go through the information(s) handed over today by the PIO and also the remaining information(s) to be provided to him by the PIO later on after collection from the D.H.O, Ziro and report his satisfaction or otherwise to the Commission on or before the next date of hearing fixed on 30/10/24 for further order.

In the hearing on 30.10.2024, the Appellant, Shri Likha Tadam was absent but the PIO, Shri Maryi Doyom, JTO o/o the Directorate of Social Justice, Empowerment and Tribal Affairs Department, Govt. of A.P attended the hearing through V.C. He had also furnished copy of earlier letter dt.17.10.2024 addressed to the Appellant enclosing therewith the left-out documents which were collected from the o/o the DHO, Ziro such as the UC Certificate, Beneficiary List and the Physical Progress Report (PPR). The Appellant, Shri Likha Tadam also, vide his letter dt.30.010.2024, addressed to this Commission, has informed that the PIO o/o the Directorate of Social Justice, Empowerment and Tribal Affairs Department, Govt. of A.P, Itanagar has furnished all the information as sought for by him except the information at Sl. No.4(v) regarding the agreement executed between the beneficiaries and the concerned authorities which has been responded by the PIO as 'not available and marked as Nil' of which he is satisfied. He, therefore, requested this Commission to close the appeal accordingly.

In the premises as above, this Commission finds that this appeal warrants no further interference by this Commission and is, therefore, disposed of and closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 4th Nov., 2024.

Sd/-(Sangyal Tsering Bappu) State Information Commissioner, APIC, Itanagar. <u>Memo. No. APIC- 1137/24 (R) of 1137/2023/470 Dated Itanagar the</u> Copy to:-

- 1. The Director-cum-FAA, Deptt. of Social Justice, Empowerment of Tribal Affairs Govt. of A.P, Itanagar for information.
- 2. The PIO, o/o Director of Social Justice Empowerment and Tribal Affairs, Govt. of AP, Itanagar 791 111 for information.
- 3. Shri Likha Tadam, D-Sector, Near Postal Colony, PO/PS Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN 791111, for information.
- 4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
 - 5. Office copy

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar APIC, Itanagar.

Arunachal Prødesh hitomsauon Commission Itanagar.