



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR.**

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Vide Case No. APIC- 449/2023.

(Summon to appear in person)

(Or.5, R.3 of CPC)

Shri Ebo Mili : Appellant
Vs
PIO, o/o the PCCF (WL &BD). Itanagar : Respondent
A.P
PIO, o/o DFO, TCP Anini. : Respondent

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Ebo Mili, Mow & Associate, Niti Vihar, Kumar Mihu Apartment, near Kid Zee School, Itanagar, AP, for non-furnishing of information by the PIO, O/o PCCF(WL&BD) Itanagar, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by the Appellant under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005.

Date of filling of RTI application	26.12.2023
PIO's response	-
Date of filing of First Appeal	14.01.2023
First Appellate Authority's response	-
Date of diarized receipt of Second Appeal by the Commission	15.05.2023
Date (s) of Hearing in the Commission	22.11.23, 20.12.23, 17.01.24, 20.03.24, 01.05.24, 07.06.23, 26.06.23,26.07.2024
Date of order/decision	

ORDER

Facts:

The hearing of this appeal was fixed for thr 8th time today on 26.07.2024.
The last hearing fixed on 07.06.2024, could not be held as neither the PIO nor his representatives turned up for the hearing which was, therefore, adjourned to 26.06.2024.
The brief fact of this appeal are that the appellant Shri Ebo Milli advocate vide his application dated 26.12.2022 requested the PIO, o/o the PCCF (WL & BD), Itanagar for the following information:
(a) The certified copies of all correspondence letters with NTCA for proposal of tiger deserve till date.
(b) The copies of PCCF report after Anini visit on 18.12.2022.
(c) The copies of Direction/order to visit Anini on 18.12.2022.
(d) The copies of all notifications and circulars relating to tiger reserve.

The records reveal that the applicant having failed to receive the information from the PIO, filed first appeal before the PCCF (WL & BD) under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act vide his application dated 14.01.2023. In response to the said appeal, the o/o the PCCF (Wild Life & Bio-Diversity) vide letter dated 27.01.2023 signed by the DCF(BRNET/PIO) transferred the application to the DFO, Dibang Forest Division, Roing requesting to provide the information to the Appellant.

Not satisfied with the response from the PIO, the appellant filed Second appeal before the Commission vide memo of appeal dated 15.05.2023 on the ground of denial of information

The hearing of this case was fixed for the 8th time today on 26.07.2024. In some of the hearings fixed earlier, either the PIO or the Appellant was absent and therefore, no conclusive hearing could be held. However, in the 5th hearing held on 01.05.2024 wherein the said representative of the PIO, Shri K. Solung and one Miss Dani Reiyang, Advocate on behalf of the appellant were present, the representative of the appellant had requested the Commission to give the appellant some time to go through the documents furnished by the PIO.

The Commission considered the request and directed the appellant through his representative to inform his satisfaction / dissatisfaction on or before the next date of hearing on 07.06.2024. But as stated above, the hearing fixed on 07.06.24 could not be held due to absence of the appellant.

The representative of the appellant submitted that the appellant is yet to receive the complete information from the PIO as sought by him in his application. When queried, the representative of the PIO submitted that the as regards the information at Sl. No.(a) and (d) of the application of the appellant, no proposal for tiger reserve and no notification for such tiger reserve have been received by the o/o the PIO and also that no tour reports of the Anini visit on 18.12.2022 has been received by the o/o the PIO.

The representative of the PIO was then directed to collect those information from the o/o the PCCF, if available, with them and furnish the same to the appellant on or before the next date of hearing.

In today's hearing both the Appellant, Shri Ebo Mili and the APIO, Shri Kashok Solung were present and heard.

The appellant submitted that he is satisfied with the replies furnished by the o/o the PIO regarding the information at S.L NO. (a) and (d) of his application that no proposal for tiger resort and no notification for such proposal have been received by the o/o the PIO from the Central Government. However, as regard any other centre Govt. notification, if any, in this regard, he would visit the o/o the PCCF and collect the same and thus consented for disposal of and closer of the appeal for once and for all.

In the premises as above this appeal is hereby disposed of and closed.

Sd/-
(Sangyal Tsering Bappa)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo. No. APIC- 449/2023/ 214
2024.

Dated Itanagar, the 2nd June,

Copy to:-

1. The PIO, o/o PCCF(WL &BD) Itanagar, PIN - 791111, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh for information & necessary action please.
2. The PIO-cum-DFO, TCP Anini Dibang Valley District Pin-792110 Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
3. Shri Ebo Mili, Mow & Associate, Niti-Vihar, Kumar Mihu Apartment, Near Kid Zee School, Itanagar, Pin - 791111, (PH. 9811940454) for information & necessary action please.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
5. Office copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar