





ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION **ITANAGAR**

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI RINCHEN DORJEE, STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No.APIC-47/2024

Dated, Itanagar the 2nd July, 2024

An Appeal Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant:

Adv. Mamu Sono, Shri Deni Yangfo, and Shri Rabo Lochung, Sood Village, PO/PS-Naharlagun, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-

791110, (M) 9436215521.

Respondent:

The Public Information Officer (PIO), Govt. of A.P., O/o the District

Panchayat Development Officer (DPDO), Yingkion, Upper Siang District,

Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791102.

Date of 1st Hearing:-02-07-2024

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Shri Mamu Sono, Sood Village, PO/PS-Naharlagun, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the Public Information Officer (PIO), Govt. of A.P., O/o the District Panchayat Development Officer (DPDO), Yingkiong, Upper Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Form-A Dated 14/11/2023 regarding the 14th Finance Commission in the year 2018-19 and 2019-20.

The 1st hearing is held today on 2nd July' 2024 (Tuesday) at 1030 hrs. Both the parties present. Dr. Nijom Dangu represent the PIO. The Appellant Shri Mamu Sono is also present. The Appellant sought information from period 2018 to 2020. The Commission insisted the Appellant to seek information for one financial year. The Appellant has agreed to opting for one financial year i.e 2019 - 2020. The representative of the PIO has brought a big bundle of documents and handed over it to the Appellant. The Appellant has received the same before the Commission. After hearing the submission of both the parties and the documents available, it is found that the matter was not heard by the First Appellate Authority (FAA). So, the Commission finds appeal case as premature. Hence, it is remanded to the First Appellate Authority (FAA) with a direction to hear both the parties by giving equal opportunities and passed necessary order in speaking order on merit.

Hence, the case is disposed of and closed.