





ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE COURT OF SHRI RINCHEN DORJEE STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No.APIC-1111/2023

Dated, Itanagar the 18th June, 2024

An Appeal Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant: Shri Paw Maling, Airport Colony, Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791122, (M) 7085636687 / 8837275365.

Vs

Respondent: The PIO-cum-EE(PWD) Govt. of A.P., PWD Daporijo Division, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791122.

Date of 1st Hearing:- 18/06/2024

<u>ORDER</u>

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Shri Paw Maling, Airport Colony, Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the PIO-cum-EE(PWD) Govt. of A.P., PWD Daporijo Division, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Form-A Dated 24/08/2023 regarding **Total Fund allocation in the financial year 2022-23**.

The 1st hearing is held today on 18th June' 2024 (Tuesday) at 1030 hrs. Both the parties are present. Er. Lardik Kare, the PIO-Cum- EE (PWD), Daporijo Division has submitted that he has furnished all the available information within stipulated time but the Appellant is not satisfied with the information so furnished. As per the appeal to the First Appellate Authority during the hearing it was found that four Nos. (1) information on works details with photocopy of work before, during the and after (2) Payment details (3) Name of the technical officer of site their portfolio (4) No. of firms participants and firm details.

Incompliance with the order passed by the First Appellate Authority, the PIO has furnished the remaining documents as above on 18/11/2023 which was received by one Mr. Tumi Rigia claimed to be the representative of the Appellant. But as per the submission of the Appellant still he is not satisfied on information so furnished. As he has not received all the information as sought by him in form "A" application. But he could not prove as to which copy of information made available before the court was not received.

But still the appellant expressed his dissatisfactions. The Commission directed him to give a specific name of the scheme which can be directed to the PIO to be furnished. But the Appellant cannot spell out the name of the scheme. Therefore, the Commission decides to dispose of and closed the case with the liberty to the Appellant to make fresh application, if any shortfall is found in the information so furnished.

So, the case is disposed of and closed.

Order copies be issued to all the parties.

Sd/-

(Rinchen Dorjee) State Chief Information Commissioner Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-1111/2023 /77

Dated, Itanagar the

70 June,2024

Copy to:

- 1 Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website& send mail to all the parties.
 - 2. Case file.

Registrar/ Dy. Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar Dopuly Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagar