



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
TANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC- 298/2024.

ShriTechi Reeb, Ganga Village PO- R.K Mission
PS- Chimpu, District Papum Pare (A.P)

: APPELLANT

Vs

ThePIO, o/o the Executive Engineer, (Power),
Capital Electrical Division, Itanagar.

:RESPONDENT

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from ShriTechi Reeb for non-furnishing of information by thePIO o/o the Executive Engineer (E), Capital Electrical Division, Itanagar as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 11.03.2024.

The appellant had sought for the following information:

- a) **Particular of information** : Information regarding Strengthening of Power distribution infrastructure in Itanagar Capital Complex.
- b) **Details of information required** :
 - 1.Details copies of DPR;
 - 2.Copy of NIT publish in local media;
 - 3.Details copy of work being Uptodate official website of www.arunachalpower.org.in.
 - 4.Details copies of mode payment to contractor;
 - 5.Details copies of U.C (Utilization Certificate);
 - 6.Details of register Firm participate above-mentioned work and
 7. Details of Firm awarded as per mentioned above work.
- c) **Period for which information asked for. 2023-24**

Records reveal that in response to the applicant's request for information as above, the PIO, o/o the E.E (E) Capital Electrical Division, Itanagar, vide letter dated 10/04/24, intimated the Applicant/Appellant that the requested information cannot be furnished since there is no such scheme as mentioned in the applicant's application dated 11/03/24.

The Applicant/Appellant, dissatisfied with the above response of the PIO, approached the F.A.A, the Superintendent Engineer (E), APEC-1-CUM-COORD, Naharlagun, vide Memo of Appeal dated 12/04/24 in response whereof the F.A.A, vide letter dated 20/06/24, directed the PIO to furnish the sought for information, if available and are not covered under the purview of section -8 of the RTI Act,2005.

Records further reveal that the F.A.A had also conducted the hearing on 16/09/24 wherein both the PIO and the Appellant were present and the considered 5 (five) appeals dated 11/03/24, 13/03/24, 27/03/24, 07/03/24 and 10/06/24.

The F.A.A, after hearing both the parties, disposed of the five appeals including the application dated 11/03/24 holding that the information sought by the Appellant was not furnished to him as the information sought were either not available or it was not specific.

The applicant/appellant, being dissatisfied with the order of the F.A.A as above, filed second appeal before this Commission under section-19(3) of the RTI Act,2005 vide his Memo of Appeal dated 15/10/24 which has been registered as APIC.NO. 298/2024.

The appeal was listed for hearing today on 11/12/24. However, the Appellant, Shri Techii Reeb did not attend the hearing due to some medical emergency who requested for adjournment to an appropriate date. The hearing was, thus, adjourned to 24/01/25 wherein the appellant, Shri Techii Reeb and the APIO o/o the E.E (E), Capital Electrical Division, Itanagar were present.

Heard the parties.

The appellant reiterated his demand for the information he had sought in his application dt.11.03.2024. He also produced a copy of a list containing various schemes and the amount allocated therefor which, the appellant claimed, were supposedly executed by the o/o the PIO. This Commission, however, could not convince itself of the authenticity of the list as the same does not bear the address or signature of any authority. In any case, the Commission observes that in the column 'Status of AA & ES' against the name of the work at Sl. No.12, it is remarked as 'to be accorded' meaning thereby that the o/o the PIO did not execute the works, "strengthening of power distribution infrastructure in Itanagar Capital Complex (HT/LT/Etc)".

The PIO, vide his written submission dt.20.01.2025, reiterating his earlier reply dt.10.04.2024 to the appellant, had also stated that since no such scheme by the above name was executed during the above mentioned period under its Division, no information has been furnished to the appellant. The APIO also reiterated the said clarification during the course of hearing.

This Commission, upon hearing the parties and on perusal of the written submission of the PIO and the copy of RTI application filed by the appellant, is inclined to hold that the PIO o/o the E.E (E), Capital Electrical Division, Itanagar had rightly rejected the RTI application in so far as the information pertaining to the Scheme / works mentioned in the RTI application of the appellant is concerned.

This appeal is, accordingly, disposed of and closed.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 24th January, 2025.


Sd/-
(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-298/2024/525

Dated Itanagar, the 28 January, 2025

Copy to:-

- 1.The F.A.A, the S.E (E) A.P Electrical Circle No. 1-cum-Co-ordn, DOP, Naharlagun for information.
- 2.The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer, (Power), Capital Electrical Division, Itanagar for information.
- 3.Shri Techii Reeb, Ganga Village PO- R.K Mission PS- Chimpu, District Papum Pare (A.P) PIN: 791113 Mobile No. 8787480872 for information.
- 4.The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
- 5.Office copy.


Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar
Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.