



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, (APIC)
ITANAGAR, ARUNACHAL PRADESH**

**An apple case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Vide Case No. APIC- 729/2023**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI KHOPEY THALEY, THE STATE
INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, UNDER SECTION 19(3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.**

Shri Tamchi Gungte
Near KV2 School, Chimpu, Itanagar Appellant.

-VERSUS-

PIO-cum-EE(WRD), Respondent.
Itanagar Division.

Judgment/Order: 27.05.2024.

JUDGMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of Section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellants Shri Tamchi Gungte on 10.04.2023 filed an RTI application under Form-'A' before the PIO-Cum- Executive Engineer (WRD), Itanagar Division, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh whereby, seeking various information, as quoted in Form-A application. The Appellant, being not satisfied with the information received from the PIO, filed the First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.07.2023, Appellant, again having not received the required information from the FAA, filed the Second Appeal before the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission on 04/08/2023 and the Registry of the Commission (APIC), having receipt of the appeal, registered it as APIC No. 729/2023 and processed the same for its hearing and disposal.

Accordingly, matter came up for hearing before the Commission for first time i.e on 27.05.2024. In this hearing of the appeal on 27th day of May, 2024. The PIO present during the hearing but the appellant found absent without any intimation to the Commission. During the hearing the PIO has intimated to the Commission that all the information/documents as sought by the appellant has already been provided to him and also submitted receipt copy of the same before the Commission today. So, the appellant is directed to appeal before the First Appellate Authority, if he is any objection or dissatisfaction regarding the documents provided to him.

Under Section 19(1) of the Act, the First Appellate Authority (FAA), the intermediate level, has to adjudicate on the Appeal, if any, filed by the information seekers against the decision of the PIO.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GOI and the State Govt., adjudication on the appeals under the RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.


27.5.24
State Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.

Contd..2/-

The First Appellate Authority (FAA), following the principle of natural justice, should conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter must pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within 30 days from the date of receipt of the appeal or else the action of the FAA would be considered as procedural lapse on the part of the FAA.

Further, it is noticed that the Appellant in most case do not wait for the orders of the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and directly prefer appeals before the 2nd Appellate Authority without attaching a copy of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA) unintelligently. Here, it is germane to note that for availing 2nd appeal before the 2nd Appellate Authority, the Appellant has been given 90 days' time from the date of order passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The 2nd appeal, if he/she is dissatisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), must be accompanied by the orders passed by the First Appellate Authority (FAA).

The Commission found that the hearing of case has not been done through proper procedure, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of and closed. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and remand back to FAA for proper hearing.

Judgment/Order pronounced in the open Court of this Commission today on this 27th day of May, 2024. Each copy of Judgment/Order be furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission/Court on this 27th day of May, 2024.

(Khohey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.
Dated Itanagar, the 27th May, 2024.

Memo.No.APIC-729/2023/ 1619
Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer-cum-FAA (WRD), Itanagar, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh for kind information & necessary action pleas.
2. The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (WRD), Itanagar Division, Papum Pare District, Naharlagun, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action please.
3. Shri Tamchi Gungte, Near KV2 School, Chimpu, Itanagar, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information & necessary action. **Contact No. 8257994249**
3. The Computer Programmer for upload on the Website of APIC, please.
4. Office Copy.

(Khohey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.
State Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar.