अधिकार RIGHT TO ENFORMATION

सूचना का

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC) ITANAGAR

7

APIC-No.1129/2023(Appeal)

Shri Tania June

Appellant

E Sector, Naharlagun, PO:PSNaharlagun Papumpare District A.P Pin: 791110. (M) 8131848230

Versus

PIO,

Respondents

Office of the Director (Horticulture) Itanagar, Papumpare District Pin: 791111.

FAA,

Director, Horticulture

Itanagar, ChimpuPapumpare District Pin: 791111.

Date of Hearing: 12.09.2024 Date of Decision: 12.09.2024

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER:

Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerge from appeal:

RTI application filed on	:	19.08.2023
SPIO replied on	:	Not on record
First appeal filed on	:	30.10.2023
First Appellate Authority's Order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal filed on	:	05.12.2023
Second Appeal filed on	•	05.12.2025

Information sought:

Regarding expenditure and implementation of under non-plan fund/maintenance work etc/repairing work/ road and bridge of entire district.

1. Administrative approval and sanction order copies.

- 2. Furnish NIT copies
- 3. Work order copies
- 4. Photograph & GIO tag copies
- 5. First and final bill copies
- 6. DBT/PFMS xerox copy and detail list of name copies
- 7. GST & return fill copies
- 8. Money receipt copy and cheque receipt copies
- 9. Trading licence and proprietor details name list copies
- 10. Copy of NOC from Forest department
- 11. Work progress report
- 12. Site inspection copies
- 13. Utilization certificate copies
- 14. Detail name list of EE/AE/JE
- 15. DPR copies
- 16. Advertisement & local newspaper copies
- 17. Department and contractor agreement copy
- 18. Payment detail name list copy
- 19. Tender participate details copies
- 20. Land donated copies
- 21. Sketch map copies
- 22. Firm registration certificate copies
- 23. Firm work express certificate copies Period from 2014 to till date

Relevant facts emerging during hearing:

The following were present -

Appellant : Present

Respondent PIO : Absent

Respondent FAA : Absent

The appellant submits that neither the PIO O/o Director (Horticulture) has provided the information nor the FAA – Director, Horticulture, Itanagar has heard his first appeal filed to him within time frame prescribed in RTI Act, 2005. So, he has filed second appeal to this court.

Decision:

The commission after adverting to the facts and circumstance of the case, hearing the party ex-parte and perusal of the records, observes that FAA has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him. As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21st August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

The instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority -Director, Horticulture, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh,Itanagar following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within three weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly at commission.

Sd/-(Dani Gamboo) Information Commission

Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar, APIC Deputy Registrar Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission Itanagar

Memo No. APIC-1129/2024/820

Dated Itanagar the Sept, 2024.

Copy to:

- Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.
 - 2. Office copy.