



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)  
ITANAGAR

.....  
(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Dani Gamboo)

AN APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

APIC-No. 333/2023(Appeal)

Shri Olik Panor & Party  
Polo Colony, Naharlagun  
PO/PS: Naharlagun  
Papumpare Dist. A.P  
Pin: 791110  
(M) 8259905992

Appellant

Versus

1)The PIO cum Deputy Director  
o/o the Directorate of Panchayat Raj  
Govt. of AP, Itanagar  
Papumapre District  
Arunachal Pradesh  
Pin: 791111

Respondent

2)The FAA-cum-Director  
Panchayat Raj (PR) Deptt.  
Govt. of A.P Itanagar  
Papumapre District, Arunachal Pradesh.  
Pin: 791111

Date of hearing: 01.04 2024

Respondent PIO cum Deputy Director in the o/o Directorate of Panchayat Raj, Itanagar Shri Nabam Rajesh appeared. FAA cum Director of Panchayat Raj Govt. of AP did not appear. Appellant Shri Olik Panor absent.

Heard the parties present.

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 24.01.2023 seeking information regarding the fund allocation of 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> finance commission grant for all village of Kra Daadi District, AP. The PIO has stated that the information sought pertains to the DPDO, Koloriang. But there is no action on record.

The appellant then filed first appeal on 10.03.2023 to the FAA cum Director of Panchayati Raj, GoAP. There is no record of any response from the FAA as well.

Feeling aggrieved the appellant approached the commission with this instant second appeal dated 10.04.2023 albeit before 90 days from the date of filing first appeal.

It is also observed that the appellant has filed the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal to the commission without waiting 90 days from the date of filing first appeal. There is no response to the applicant on record to the RTI application in form "A" and to the first appeal either. The commission is of the opinion that the FAA be given one time opportunity to hear and decide this appeal case.

First Appellate Authority (FAA) cum Director of Panchayat GoAP Itanagar is directed to conduct hearing by summoning both PIO in the o/o the Director Panchayat and the Appellant. Pass an appropriate order in the form of **speaking order** within 45 days from the date of issue of this order to dispose of the case as required at serial no. 38 of the guide for FAA under sub sections (4) and (5) of section 5 of the Right to Information Act 2005 clarified by memorandum No. AR-111/2008 dated 21.08.2008, Deptt. of AR, GoAP.

In any case the PIO does not implement the order passed by the appellate authority, he should bring the matter to the notice of the officer in the public authority competent to take action against the concerned PIO.

This appeal case is closed in the commission with liberty of the appellant to file afresh an appeal if aggrieved by the decision of FAA.

Furnish copies to the parties.

Sd/-  
(Dani Gamboo)  
Information Commissioner

Memo No.APIC-333/2023/1605  
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar the ...9... April 2024

1. The FAA cum Director of Panchayat Raj (PR), Govt. of A.P Itanagar, Papumapre District, Arunachal Pradesh. Pin: 791111
2. The PIO cum Deputy Director, o/o the Directorate of Panchayat Raj (PR), Itanagar, Papumapre District, Arunachal Pradesh. Pin: 791111
3. Shri Olik Panor & Party, Polo Colony, Naharlagun, PO/PS: Naharlagun, Papumpare Dist. A.P (M) 8259905992, Pin: 791110
4. Computer Programmer, Itanagar, APIC, to upload in APIC, website.
5. Office copy.

  
Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar.