



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC- 236/2026.

APPELLANT : Shri Chera Taji, Bedhi-Bedha Vill. Itanagar.
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Doimukh Division, Papum
Pare District A.P

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from ShriChera Taji for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Doimukh Division, Papum Pare District as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 14.11.2025.

A)Details of information required:

1. The Guidelines copy of C/O Widening &Strengthening Road from Itanagar to Jote, 20 km.
2. The sanctioned order copy of C/O Widening Strengthening Road from Itanagar to Jote, 20 km.
3. The detailed Project of said project.
4. The Notice inviting tender copy with date and published News Paper name.
5. The check list of total firms participated during the NIT.
6. The name and details of the firm to whom the road project was awarded.
7. The Bill of Quantity (BOQ) of the winning firm along with comparative statement of 11,12,13 of the firm.
8. The acceptance letter copy by the winning firm.
9. The Geo tagging copy of the three stages: - Before the project commenced, after the project started and after the completion of the project.
10. The Agreement copy between the executing agency and working agency for maintenance of the said project.
11. The total tender bidding amount.
12. The copy of the non-tendering work order issue if any?
13. The third party monitoring team carried out report copy submitted against said project viz (i) Soil/sub grade tests copy(ii) Aggregate test copy(iii) Bitumen tests copy(iv) Cement/concrete tests copy(v) Field/in-situ tests copy(vi) Pavement quality/finished test copy along with all the tests CD/videography/photo copy.
14. The how many amounts have been deducted for TDS/GST viz, CGST/SGST/Income tax or others taxable etc details please.
15. The copy of counter foil of cheque/ PMFS Generated print payment Advise copy in every payment.
16. The fund utilization certificate of the said project.
17. The measurement book of every bill paid for the said project along with first, final bill form copy.

18. The approval letter by Chief Engineer PWD and the Superintending Engineer PWD of tender balance amount.
 19. The copy of cost justification of balance amount/fund.
 20. Whether the surrender amount has been utilized or deposited? If utilized provide the work Order or tender documents where the amount was utilized.
- B) Period for which information asked for: 2020 to till date.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal :

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the appellant, Shri Chera Taji had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information / documents but failed to obtain the same which prompted him to appeal before the Superintending Engineer (PWD), Capital Circle, Itanagar, the first Appellate Authority (FAA) under Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide his Memo of appeal dt. 11.12.2025

Records further reveal that the First Appellate Authority conducted hearing on 11.02.2026 and disposed of the appeal by passing the following order:

"JUDGEMENT/ORDER

This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of the section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Chera Taji had filed an RTI application dated 14.11.2025 in Form-A to the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Doimukh Division, PWD, Doimukh seeking various documents/information regarding "C/o widening and strengthening road from Itanagar to Jote 20Km (State Highway)"

Appellant being not provided with the requisite information by the concerned PIO had filed the First appeal dated 11.12.2025, subsequent to which, the matter was heard before Er. Kipa Nakup. AE, Capital Circle, PWD, Itanagar being the representative of the FAA-Cum-SE, Capital Circle, PWD. Itanagar on 11.02.2026 in the presence of Er. Lishi Lel, Assistant Engineer, Balijan Sub-Division, PWD, being the representative of the respondent PIO and appellant Shri Chera Taji.

Heard the parties present.

In the hearing, the representative of the respondent PIO presented all the relevant documents as sought by the appellant and the same were duly received by the later.

In view of above facts and circumstances I find this appeal fit to be disposed off and closed with liberty to the appellant to file appeal to the Appellate Authority if dissatisfied or aggrieved by the documents/information provided in this hearing and, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and closed once for all.

Sd/-

*FAA-cum-Superintending Engineer
PWD, Capital Circle, Itanagar"*

The appellant, apparently, dissatisfied with the above order of the FAA, filed his second appeal before this Commission under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 on the ground that the PIO has furnished incomplete information.

This appeal was, accordingly, listed on 05.06.26 but could not be heard due to Bandh in Itanagar Capital Region. Hence, the appeal is listed again today on 17th July, 2026 wherein the appellant, Shri Chera Taji is present while the PIO, Er. Shri Naresh Teli Camdir attended through V.C.

Heard the parties.

The appellant submitted that the PIO has furnished information/documents in respect of some of the queries but information/documents against some of the queries were either not furnished or were furnished incomplete or misleading as under:

- (A) Information/Documents furnished: Query Nos. (1), (2), (3), (5), (6), (7), (8), (10), (11), (18), (19) and (20);
- (B) Information furnished incomplete: Query No (12) (First and Final Bill and MB Book in respect of non-tendering work.

The PIO, while admitting that wrong information/documents were inadvertently furnished to the appellant by his sub-ordinate staff, assured to furnish the revised and corrected information to the appellant. He also assured to trace out some of the documents which, if found, will be furnished. The appellant then pleaded that appropriate direction be issued to the PIO to furnish the reasons for non-furnishing of some information on an affidavit.

This Commission, upon hearing the parties, observes that the PIO has furnished replies/documents to most of the queries of the appellant except against few queries which the PIO shall furnish and if the o/o the PIO is not able to furnish any of the left out information, he shall, as mandated by section-7(8)(i) r/w section-18(3)(c) of the RTI Act and rule- 5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005, furnish appropriate replies on an affidavit.

The PIO shall comply with the above direction within 2(two) weeks from the date of receipt of this order and the appellant shall, within 1(one) week of the receipt of the replies from the PIO, intimate this Commission failing which this appeal shall stand closed without further notice.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 17th July, 2026.

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)

State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-236/2026

Dated Itanagar, the 17 July, 2026

Copy to:

1. The Superintending Engineer (PWD), Capital Circle Itanagar, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) for information and ensuring compliance by the PIO concerned.
2. The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Doimukh Division, Papum Pare District PIN: 791112 for information and compliance.
3. Shri Chera Taji, Bedhi-Bedha Vill. Itanagar PIN: 791113 Mobile No. 8131991209 for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/Copy.

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar