



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR

File No. APIC-730/2025(Appeal)

Shri Jesun Yangfo

A-Sector Naharlagun, near PHED enquiry Office, **Appellant**
PO- Naharlagun District AP
Pin-791110
M-9436879170

versus

1.PIO, O/o the Mission Director NHM, Naharlagun P/Pare District AP
Pin- 791110 **Respondents**

2.FAA,

Mission Director, National Health Mission

(NHM) Naharlagun Papumpare District AP.
Pin- 791110

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 12.12.2025

Date of Decision: 12.12.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER : Dani Gamboo

Relevant facts emerging from appeal.

RTI application filed on 25.06.2025
SPIO replied on Not on record
First appeal filed on 08.08.2025
First Appellate Authority's Order Not on record
Second Appeal filed on 26.09.2025

Information sought:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 25.06.2025 seeking following information regarding work orders of all the program.

Details of Information required:

- Furnish the true copy of all the work order with the name of work which execute in 2025 under all the programme office.
- Furnish the copy of name of the firm which order is given.

Period for which information asked for: 2025.

The following were present.

Appellants

: Present. He stated that neither he has received any information from the PIO nor FAA has heard the first appeal case within stipulated date.

Respondent PIO

: Present. He stated that full information sought was not readily available at NHM office, Itanagar. Full Information are being collected from the districts. He also states that the FAA has not heard the first appeal.

FAA

: Absent.

Decision:

The Commission after advertizing to the facts and circumstances of the case, and perusal of the records and hearing the parties, observes that FAA – **Mission Director, National Health Mission** (NHM) Naharlagun Papumpare District AP, has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him by following statutory procedure laid down in the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. So, this appeal case is decided and determined in the absence of the FAA as has been made known to him in the hearing notice.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21st August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority. Therefore, the **FAA – Mission Director, National Health Mission** (NHM) Naharlagun Papumpare District AP., following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within three weeks from the date of receipt of this order i.e. on or before **3.1.2026**.

The appellant is at liberty to file 2nd appeal afresh:

1. If the Appellant is not satisfied with the information furnished to him by PIO based on the judgement order passed by the FAA.
2. If the FAA has denied the requested information based on specific exemptions/ grounds provided under the RTI Act, 2005.

Fee for such 2nd appeal, if done, shall be exempted.

Sd/- (Dani Gamboo)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar

APIC

Date:

Memo No. APIC-730/2025/

1651

Dated Itanagar the December, 18. 2025.

Copy to:

1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.
2. Office copy.

Registrar / Dy. Registrar
APIC, Itanagar

*Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar*