



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MAJOR GENERAL JARKEN GAMLIN, AVSM, SM,
VSM (RETD), STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

NO. APIC-559/A/2025

Dated Itanagar the 16th September' 2025

An Appeal Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

Appellant: Chow Lajamang Mannow, Village Nanam Khamti, P.O. Manmow, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792103, (M) 7629857269.

Vs

Respondent: The PIO-Cum-EE(PWD), Govt. of A.P., Tezu Division, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792001.

ORDER

- 1). This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Chow Lajamang Mannow, Village Nanam Khamti, P.O. Manmow, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the PIO-cum-EE(PWD), Govt. of A.P., Tezu Division, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Form-A Dated 05/03/2025 regarding **Improvement of District Headquarters Township roads at Tezu (under SASCI)**.
- 2). The 1st hearing was held on 16th September' 2025 (Tuesday) at 1100 hrs. Ms Tania Yasap, APIO - Cum- JE (PWD), Tezu Division, Lohit District represented the PIO. The Appellant Shri Chow Lajamang Mannow was also present during the court hearing.
- 3). The Appellant informed that he had received incomplete information from the PIO. When he visited the PIO office to request for the remaining information, the PIO was not in the office.
- 4). The Appellant had specified that **Sl. No. (f), (h), (o) & (s)** as indicated in Form-A were yet to be furnished.
- 5). When the Appellant requested permission for a site visit of work place, the Commission informed that since the area is in the open he could visit the project site on any given day.
- 6). The Commission directed the representative of the PIO-Cum- EE (PWD), Tezu Division, Lohit District to furnish the remaining information to the Appellant within 15 days from the date of issue of this order. If they failed to comply with the order penal action as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005 would be initiated against the PIO.
- 7). The Appellant was given 30 days time to get the remaining information from the PIO, and should inform the Commission about his satisfaction or dis-satisfaction. If he failed to do so the case would be disposed of without further notice presuming that he is satisfied with the information so received.

- 8). Based on the reports of the Appellant further course of action will be determined.
- 9). Henceforth, no next date of hearing will be fixed.

Order copies be issued to all the parties.

Sd/-

[Major General Jarken Gamlin, AVSM, SM, VSM (Retd)],
State Chief Information Commissioner
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar

Memo No.APIC-559/A/2025

284

Dated, Itanagar the 18 Sept'2025

Copy to:

1. The FAA-cum-Chief Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P., Eastern Zone, PWD Department, Thana Road, Namsai, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792103.
2. The PIO-cum-EE(PWD), Govt. of A.P., Tezu Division, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792120 for compliance.
3. Chow Lajamang Mannow, Village Nanam Khamti, P.O. Manmow, Namsai District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-792103(M) 7629857269for compliance.
4. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website.
5. Case file.

P. Registrar

Registrar / Dy. Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar