सत्यमेव जयते

सूचना का अधिकार
RIGHT TO INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC- 205/2026.

APPELLANT : Shri Tamchi Gungte near KV-II Chimpu.
RESPONDENT : The PIO, o/o the EE (PHE & WS), Yingkiong Division, Yingkiong.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Tamchi Gungte for non-furnishing of 18(eighteen) point information regarding Plan & Non-plan Works/projects under various funding heads such as, SIDF/ BE/ RE/ RIDF/ SADA/ ADA etc. during the financial year 2016-17 to 2025-26 by the PIO, o/o the EE (PHE & WS), Yingkiong Division, as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 27.09.2025.

This appeal was listed and heard 2(two) times on 05.08.2026 and 23.09.26.

On 05.08.26, wherein the PIO, Er. Shri Oling Taloh, E.E was present through VC while the appellant, Shri Tamchi Gungte was present in person, this Commission, upon hearing the parties and noticing that the number of funding heads under which the documents /information have been sought are 20(twenty) and the requested information /documents relating to 10 FYs would, indeed, be voluminous, directed the PIO to first find out the actual number of funding heads under which the Division has implemented schemes/projects during the period, 2016-17 onwards and till 2025-26 and accordingly, collate the requested information / documents as per the queries in the appellant's application and furnish the same to the appellant and report the compliance thereof to this Commission on 23.09.2026.

On 23.09.2026, Er. Shri Kaling Perme, A.E -cum-APIO was present in person with the requested documents. But the appellant was absent without any intimation.

Since the appellant was absent, the documents brought in by the PIO was retained in the Commission which was handed over to the appellant later with direction to intimate the shortcomings, if any, in the information so furnished by the PIO within 1(one) week from the date of receipt of this order for further consideration of the appeal.

As directed the appellant, vide his letter dt. 30.09.2026 (reproduced hereunder), has complained that the PIO has furnished incomplete documents/information and pleaded for hearing the matter thoroughly and direct the PIO to furnish all the relevant documents before next date of hearing.

"Respected Sir,

With due respect, I would like to inform you sir that the documents furnished by PIO is incomplete and inappropriate as I have sought in my Form-A and I believe that the information I'm seeking doesn't fall under section 8 of the RTI act.

Following are details of the incomplete information as per my Form-A:-

A) Serial no. 1: The documents provided is incomplete as the PIO failed to furnish the cost of each project mentioning the concern funding head for each financial year.

B) Serial no. 2: The details of information furnished is not as I sought in my Form-A, since I have sought for certified photocopy of a actual documents.

C) Serial no. 3: The details of information furnished is not as I sought in my Form-A, since I have sought for certified photocopy of a actual documents.

D) Serial no.4: The reply furnished is not as per RTI Act 2005. As per Section 7, of sub-section 8, Clause 1(i) of the RTI Act 2005, if the information is denied by the PIO, the PIO shall quote a proper and valid reasons for rejecting the information. So, I request the PIO to refurnish the information.

E) Serial no. 5: The reply furnished is not as per RTI Act 2005. As per Section 7, of sub-section 8, Clause 1(i) of the RTI Act 2005, if the information is denied by the PIO, the PIO shall quote a proper and valid reasons for rejecting the information. So, I request the PIO to refurnish the information.

F) Serial no. 6: Due to non-furnish of the complete details of serial no. 1 documents, I cannot agree that the information provide is correct. As all the documents are interconnected to each other.

G) Serial no. 7:-Did not furnish any documents.

H) Serial no. 11: The details furnish is not in a proper manner as there is no clarity whether the amount mentioned is in lakhs/crores/thousand etc. and the total contingency amount shall be properly verified if the proper accumulated LOCs, fund Allocation etc. were furnished by the PIO but he/she failed to do so.

1) Serial no. 12: The reply furnished is invalid as a public authority, the PIO cannot denied the documents citing a inappropriate reasons.

J) Serial no. 13: The reply furnished is not as per RTI Act 2005. As per Section 7, of sub-section 8, Clause 1(i) of the RTI Act 2005, if the information is denied by the PIO, the PIO shall quote a proper and valid reasons for rejecting the information. So, I request the PIO to refurnish the information.

K) Serial no. 14: The reply furnished is not as per RTI Act 2005. As per Section 7, of sub-section 8, Clause 1(i) of the RTI Act 2005, if the information is denied by the PIO, the PIO shall quote a proper and valid reasons for rejecting the information. So, I request the PIO to refurnish the information.

L) Serial no. 16: The details furnish is not in a proper manner as there is no clarity whether the amount mentioned is in lakhs/crores/thousand etc. and the total contingency amount shall be properly verified if the accumulated LOCs, fund Allocation etc. copy were furnished by the PIO but he/she failed to do so.

M) Serial no. 17:- Did not furnish any documents.

N) Serial no. 18:- Did not furnish any documents.

Therefore, I would request the honorable court to fix another date of hearing the matter thoroughly and direct the PIO to furnish all the relevant documents before next date of hearing.

Thank You.

Sd/-

Tamchi Gungte."

In the premises as above, this appeal is listed again on 04.11.2026 and in the interim, the PIO is directed to furnish the left out information as comlained by the appellant in his aforesaid letter and report the compliance thereof to this Commission in the next hearing on 04.11.2026.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 12th Oct., 2026.

NOW THEREFORE, you are hereby summoned to appear in person or online in the Hon'ble Court of Shri Sangyal Tsering Bappu, **SIC in person on 4th November, 2026 (Wednesday) at 10.30 am** to answer the claims, and you are directed to produce on that day all the documents upon which you intend to rely in support of your claims/defense.

Take notice that, in default of your appearance, on the day above- mentioned, the matter will be heard and determined, including closure of the appeal, in your absence.

To avail online hearing please at least notify or get in touch one day prior to the hearing, download "**WEBEX MEETING APP"** from Google Play store. For further technical assistance Shri Himanshu Verma, IT Consultant (Mobile no. 8319014957) maybe contacted.

Sd/-
(S.TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-205/2026/934 Dated Itanagar, the 13 Oct, 2026

Copy to:

1) The Chief Engineer (PHE & WS), Eastern Zone Aalo, West Siang District, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) for information and compliance by the PIOP concerned.
2) The PIO, o/o the EE (PHE & WS), Yingkiong Division, Upper Siang District PIN: 791002 for information and compliance.
3) Shri Tamchi Gungte, near KV-II School Chimpu PIN: 791113 Mobile NO. 8258820863/9402798349 for information and compliance.
4) The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5) Office Copy.
6) S/Copy.

13/10/26

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar