



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Case No. APIC- 391/2025

APPELLANT
RESPONDENT

: Shri Pisa Takey, Papu Nallah, Naharlagun.
: The PIO, o/o the E.E (PWD) Koloriang Division
Kurung Kumey District.

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Pisa Takey for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the EE (PWD) Sangram Division, Kurung Kumey District (A.P) as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 03.01.2025.

A.Particulars of information: C/o approach road construction from BRO Helipad Lee to CO-cum-BDO Residence via Hospital, General ground CO-cum-BDO office Sarli Town.

B.Details of information:

1. DPR of the said project in details;
2. Work order of the said project;
3. MIS report geo tagged report and geo tagged certificate;
4. Name of the firm in details;
5. NIT date of publication copy (local news paper cutting c/o said project);
6. Total fund allocation for the project said;
7. Technical sanction copy of the project and
8. Board member of the said project at the time of NIT in designation with sign details.

C. Period for which information asked for: 2023-2025.

Brief facts emerging from the appeal and the decision thereon:

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the Appellant, Shri Pisa Takey had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information / documents but failed to obtain the documents from the PIO which prompted him to appeal before the Chief Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Central Zone-A, Itanagar as the First Appellate Authority (F.A.A) under Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act vide his Appeal Memo dated 24.03.2025.

Records, however, disclosed that the FAA did not adjudicate on this appeal. As such, this appeal was returned to the FAA to adjudicate the appeal under section 19(1) of the RTI Act by giving both the parties fair and reasonable opportunity to be heard and pass appropriate direction within 30 days. However, the appellant failed yet again to obtain the information and therefore, he filed his 2nd appeal before this Commission under Section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide Memo of appeal dt. 14.05.2025

This appeal was heard 3(three) times earlier on 25.02.2026, 25.03.2026 and 29.04.2026.

On 25.02.26 wherein the appellant, Shri Pisa Takey and Shri Bini Tare, the PA-cum-RTI i/c, representing the PIO, o/o the EE (PWD) Sangram Division were present in person, this Commission, upon hearing the parties, especially, the representative of the PIO who submitted that with the bifurcation of the Koloriang PWD Division from the Sangram PWD Division, the documents related to the schemes coming under the jurisdiction of Koloriang Division, including the RTI matters, had been transferred to the Koloriang, summoned the PIOs of both the Sangram Division and the Koloriang Division on next hearing on 25.03.26 to decide as to whose office shall furnish the requested information/documents to the appellant.

On 25.03.26 both the PIOs were absent without any intimation and therefore the hearing was adjourned to 29th April, 2026.

On 29.04.26 the appellant, Shri Pisa Take was present in person while the PIO, Sangram Division was again represented by Shri Bini Tare, the PA-cum-RTI i/c. But the PIO, Koloriang Division was yet again absent despite repeated Summon notices through Whatsapp due to whose absence the question as to whose Division shall furnish the requested information to the appellant, still remained unresolved.

This appeal was, therefore, listed again on 29.05.2026 wherein the APIO, Er. Bengia Katup, J.E, Koloriang PWD Division is present in person and the appellant is also present in person.

Heard the parties.

During the course of hearing, this Commission, noticed that the PIO had furnished the following information/documents to the appellant which the appellants has duly acknowledged:

- a) MIS Report geo tagged report and certificate (Sl.No.3);
- b) Total Fund allocation (Sl.No.6;
- c) Technical sanction (Sl.No.7).

As regards replies to queries at Sl.Nos.1, 2,4,5 and 8, the APIO submitted that most of the requested documents have been handed over to the CBI and in support thereof the APIO has also produced a copy of the list of documents handed over to the CBI.

It is pertinent to note here that in an appeal No. APIC-703/2025 which was filed against the same respondent PIO, this Commission, after hearing the parties on 27.05.2026, passed the following order:

“During the course of hearing the PIO was reminded of this Commission’s interim orders dt.21.01.2026 in 4(four) appeals namely, APIC-366/25, APIC-367/25, APIC-368/25 and API-369/25 and order dt.29.04.26 in APIC-704/25 which are pending final adjudication in this Commission against the instant respondent PIO and the PIO, Sangram PWD Division. The issues in those orders pertain to the question as to whose office, (whether that of PIO, Koloriang Division or that of the PIO, Sangram Division) will provide the information sought for by the appellant.

The PIO, on pointed query, admitting fairly that the documents pertaining to the schemes falling under the jurisdiction of Koloriang have actually been transferred and handed over to the Koloriang PWD Division from the Sangram PWD Division on bifurcation of the Koloriang Division from the Sangram Division, confirmed that the PIO, o/o Koloriang Division shall furnish the requested documents / information, the records whereof are also currently under the custody of the CBI.

In view of the PIO's confirmation as above, this Commission concludes that the information/documents as sought for by the appellant in the aforesaid 5(five) appeals shall be provided by the o/o the PIO, Koloriang PWD Division and consequently, the PIO, o/o Sangram PWD Division shall, henceforth be no longer be summoned for hearing in the aforesaid 5(five) appeals. This Commission, thus, directs the PIO, Koloriang (PWD) Division to furnish the requested information / documents in all the 6(six) appeals, including the instant appeal, to the appellant as soon as the documents are received from the CBI."

Since the facts obtaining in the instant appeal are similar to those 5(five) appeal (supra), the PIO, o/o Sangram PWD Division shall, henceforth be no longer summoned for hearing in the instant appeal as well. This Commission, thus, directs the PIO, Koloriang (PWD) Division to furnish the requested information / documents at Sl. Nos. 1, 2,4,5 and 8 as soon as those are received back from the CBI's office with intimation to this Commission.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 29th May, 2026.

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)

State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-391/2025 / 869

Dated Itanagar, the 2nd May, 2026

Copy to:-

1. The Chief Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Central Zone-A Itanagar, First Appellate Authority for information and ensuring compliance by the PIO.
2. The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Sangram Division, Kurung Kumey District (A,P) PIN: 791118 for information and compliance.
3. The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Koloriang Division, Kurung Kumey District (A,P) for information and compliance.
4. Shri Pisa Takey, Papu Nallah, Nlg, Papum Pare District (A.P) PIN: 791110 Mobile No. 8732832283 for information.
5. Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
6. Office copy.
7. S/copy

Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission