



सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION

ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005
Case No. APIC-277/2025.

APPELLANT

:Shri Bamang Losik, Nyapin, Kurung Kumey.

RESPONDENT

:The PIO, o/o the District Panchayat Development Officer
Koloriang, Kurung Kumey District (A.P).

ORDER

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Bamang Losik for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the District Panchayat Development Officer, Koloriang, Kurung Kumey District (A.P) as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 12.09.2024:

Subject matter of information required: SoR Scheme in entire Kurung Kumey District 2022-23, 2023-24

Details of Information Required:

1. Furnish NIT copy and Tender participate details list details copies;
2. Furnish Utilization Certificate copies;
3. Furnish photographs & Geo Tag copies;
4. Furnish work order copies;
5. Furnish Administrative approval sanction order copies;
6. Furnish work progress report copies;
7. Furnish work executed JE/PTA details Name list copies;
8. Furnish cheque receipt and counterfoil copies;
9. Furnish the Bill copy & Money receipt copies, if released;
10. Furnish Advertisement and local newspaper copies;
11. Furnish Technical Bid and price bid copies;
12. Furnish site inspection report copies;
13. Furnish Trading License and Proprietor name list copies;
14. Furnish Bank Guarantee Certificate;
15. Furnish GST Copy and return file copies;
16. Furnish firm registration certificate and bank solvency certificate;
17. Furnish contractor and department agreement copies;
18. Furnish Estimate copies;
19. Furnish acceptance letter Xerox copy;
20. Furnish details of payment made to contractors with amount payment;
21. Furnish photographs of completed work copies;
22. Furnish Guidelines copies payment and
23. Furnish the NOC copy of land owner.

Records in the appeal reveal that the appellant had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information but failed to obtain the same within the statutory period of one month which prompted him to file appeal before the First Appellate Authority (FAA), the Deputy Commissioner, Kurung Kumey District, Govt. of A.P. Koloriang under section 19(1) of the RTI Act vide his Memo of Appeal dt.11.12.24. But having failed yet again to obtain the information, he preferred his second appeal before this Commission under section 19(3) of the RTI Act vide his Appeal Memo dt.24.03.2025.

Records also reveal that the DC-cum-FAA conducted the hearing on 23.01.2025 wherein both the appellant and PIO's representative Shri Prasanta Changmai, LDC were present whereupon had directed the PIO-cum-DPDO Kurung Kumey District to furnish the information sought by the Appellant on or before 30th January, 2025 assured by the PIO's representative. There is, however, no record as to the compliance of the PIO's order. However, the appellant apparently failed yet again to obtain the information and therefore, he preferred 2nd appeal before this Commission under Section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 vide his memo of appeal dated nil.

This appeal is accordingly, listed and heard today on 02.07.2025 wherein both the appellant, Shri Bamang Losik and Shri Chera Tapung, Panchayat Technical Assistant (PTA), representing the PIO, are present through VC.

Heard the parties.

The appellant reiterating his demand for the requested information/documents submitted that despite his repeated visits to the o/o the PIO, he has been furnished only the documents relating to GPC funds and prayed for direction to the PIO for payment of compensation for the harassment he had suffered and also pleaded for action under section 18 of the RTI Act. This Commission explained to the appellant that the provisions under section 18 and section 19 are substantially different in that under section 18 only penalty can be imposed and no direction can be passed for providing information but for information section 19 provides the redressal. The appellant then submitted that he would prefer to get his grievances redressed under section 19. He also agreed not to press for compensation.

The representative of the PIO submitted that the PIO and the District Nodal Officer dealing with the State Own Revenue (SOR) fund who are pre-occupied in some important official duties will be apprised of today's proceedings.

This Commission, upon hearing the parties and on perusal of the documents/information sought for by the appellant which are found not exempted under the exemption provisions of the RTI Act 2005, directs the PIO and DNO, Shri Chera Ngoya (PTA), in the interim, to provide the appellant the remaining documents within 3(three) weeks from 02.07.2025 i.e. on or before 23.07.2025 and thereafter the appellant will intimate this Commission of the receipt of the documents within one week from 23.07.2025 for further consideration of the appeal.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 2nd July, 2025.

Sd/-
(S. TSERING BAPPU)
State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC- 277/2024

5/487

Dated Itanagar, the

4

July, 2025

Copy to:

1. The Deputy Commissioner, Kurung Kumey District, Koloriang, Govt. of A.P, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) for information and ensuring compliance by the PIO.
2. The PIO, o/o the District Panchayat Development Officer, Koloriang, Kurung Kumey District (A.P) PIN: 791118 for information and compkiance.
3. Shri Bamang Losik, Nyapin, PO/PS Nyapin, Kurung Kumey District (A.P) PIN: 791118 (M/No. 9365648833) G-mail- losikbamang@gmail.com for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC please.
5. Office copy.
6. S/Copy.

P. Raju
**Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar**

**Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar**