



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION  
ITANAGAR**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MAJOR GENERAL JARKEN GAMLIN, AVSM, SM,  
VSM (RETD), STATE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER**

No.APIC-157/A/2026

Dated, Itanagar the 5<sup>th</sup> May' 2026

**Appeal Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005**

**Appellant:** Shri Chera Taji, Village Lower Tarasso, Po/Ps Baliyan, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791123, (M) 8131991209.  
Vs

**Respondent:** The PIO-cum-EE (RWD), Govt. of A.P., Rural Work Department, Papum Poma Division, Yupia, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791123.

**ORDER**

- 1). This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 filed by Shri Chera Taji, Village Lower Tarasso, Po/Ps Baliyan, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information by the PIO-cum-EE (RWD), Govt. of A.P., Rural Work Department, Papum Poma Division, Yupia, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought by the Appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005 vide Form-A Dated 14/11/2025 regarding c/o Bridges over the rivers namely (1) Bormai river (2) Taraso river (3) Radaso river, Road from Tarajuli to U/Taraso (Radaso) total length 19.50km, under PMGSY Scheme, District Papum Pare, Arunachal Pradesh.
- 2). The 1<sup>st</sup> hearing was held on 5<sup>th</sup> May' 2026. The PIO-cum-EE (RWD), Govt. of A.P., Rural Work Department, Papum Poma Division, Yupia, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh was represented by Er. Ngurang Nija, AE (RWD) Papum Poma Division. The Appellant Shri Chera Taji was present.
- 3). The Appellant informed the Commission that the PIO had not furnished information on the grounds that the nomenclature of the scheme did not match with the existing scheme. The Appellant placed before the Court information obtained from the Chief Engineer (PWD) office. The Appellant also informed that the above projects had been in the pipeline for the last nine years but still remained incomplete.
- 4). The PIO submitted that the projects are ongoing, due to some technical issues like, length of the bridge, technical approvals, government approval etc the work could not be completed in time.
- 5). The Commission, admonished the PIO for denying information merely based on some difference in nomenclature. Under section 6(1) of the RTI Act 2005 it is the duty of the PIO to render all reasonable assistance to the information seeker. The PIO was directed to furnish all the information. In case any information were not available in the office record, the PIO was directed to give justifiable reasons in writing under intimation to the Commission. The Appellant was directed to visit office of the PIO after fifteen days from the date of issue of this order and collect information.

6). The Commission also asked the Appellant to intimate his satisfaction or dissatisfaction after receipt of information.

7). The next date of hearing would be decided on the basis of intimation from the Appellant. In case the Appellant failed to intimate to the Commission within ten days from the expiry of above time given to the PIO, the case would be disposed of.

**Order copies be issued to all the parties.**

Sd/-

[Major General Jarken Gamlin, AVSM, SM, VSM (Retd)],  
State Chief Information Commissioner  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Dated, Itanagar the 8<sup>th</sup> May' 2026

Memo No. APIC-157/A/2026 / 461  
Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-EE (RWD), Govt. of A.P., Rural Work Department, Papum Poma Division, Yupia, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN- 791123 for compliance.
2. Shri Chera Taji, Village Lower Tarasso, Po/Ps Balijan, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh, PIN-791123, (M) 8131991209 for compliance.
3. Computer Programmer, APIC, Itanagar, to upload in APIC Website.
4. Case file.

Registrar / Dy. Registrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

Itanagar

Registrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar