



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION (APIC)
ITANAGAR

File No. APIC-657/2025(Appeal)

Shri Khoda Taji

Village Amji, PO/PS: Palin

Kradadi District A.P

Pin Code: 791118, (M) 8119939225

Appellant

Versus

1. PIO,

O/o the Executive Engineer (EE)

RWD Jamin Division

Kradadi District A.P.

Pin code: 791118

Respondents

2. FAA-cum-Superintending Engineer

Rural Works Circle (RWC) Yachuli

Keyi Panyor District AP.

Pin Code: 791120.

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 31.10.2025

Date of Decision: 31.10.2025

INFORMATION COMMISSIONER :
Relevant facts emerging from appeal.

Dani Gamboo

RTI application filed on	:	19.03.2025
SPIO replied on	:	Not on record
First appeal filed on	:	22.04.2025
First Appellate Authority's Order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal filed on	:	21.08.2025

Information sought:

The appellant filed an RTI application dated 19.03.2025 seeking following information regarding Construction of road from Riambang to Lolcee via Padpa under PMGSY and construction of road from Delinge to Kembang village under PMGSY.

Details of information:

- (1) DPR for construction of road from Riambang to Lolcee via Padpa under PMGSY.
- (2) DPR for construction of road from Delinge to Kembang village under PMGSY.

(3) Contract agreement awarding contract work for construction of road from Riambang to Lolcee via Padpa under PMGSY.

(4) Contract agreement awarding contract work for construction of road from Delinge to Kembang village under PMGSY.

Period for which information is asked for: 2023-2025

The following were present.

Appellant : Absent. Shri Tumi Ete (Advocate) has represented the appellant without any authorization letter.

Respondent PIO : Absent.

F A A : Absent.

Decision:

The Commission after adverting to the facts and circumstances of the case, and perusal of the records, observes that FAA – Superintending Engineer Rural Works Circle (RWC) Yachuli Keyi Panyor District AP., has not disposed of the first appeal filed to him by following statutory procedure laid down in the Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. So, this appeal case is decided and determined in the absence of the PIO and FAA as has been made known to them in the hearing notice.

As laid down at para-38 of the Guidelines for the FAA issued by the GoI and the State Govt. OM No. AR-111/2008 Dated 21st August, 2008, adjudication on the appeals under RTI Act is a quasi-judicial function. It is, therefore, necessary that the Appellate Authority should see to it that the justice is not only done but it should also appear to have been done. In order to do so, the order passed by the appellate authority should be a speaking order giving justification for the decision arrived at.

Therefore, the instant appeal case is remanded to First Appellate Authority. Therefore, the **FAA –Superintending Engineer Rural Works Circle (RWC) Yachuli Keyi Panyor District AP.**, following the principle of natural justice, shall conduct hearing giving fair and equal opportunity to both the appellant and the PIO and thereafter pass reasoned and speaking order on merit within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order i.e on or before **14.11.2025**.

The appellant is at liberty to file 2nd appeal afresh:

1. If the Appellant is not satisfied with the information furnished to him by PIO based on the judgement order passed by the FAA.
2. If the FAA has denied the requested information based on specific exemptions/ grounds provided under the RTI Act, 2005.

Fee for such 2nd appeal, if done, shall be exempted.

Sd/-
Dani Gamboo
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

Registrar / Dy. Registrar

APIC

Memo No. APIC-657/2025/

632

Dated Itanagar the... Nov.'2025.

Copy to:

- ✓ 1. Computer Programmer Itanagar APIC to upload in APIC website and mailed to concerned department email.
2. Office copy.


**Registrar
APIC, Itanagar**

Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar