



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
ITANAGAR.**

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005

Case No. APIC- 713/2025.

APPELLANTS

: Smti. Maman Pertin and Shri Kayem Pertin, Dambuk.

RESPONDENT

: The PIO, o/o the E.E (WRD), Roing Lower Dibang Valley District (A.P)

ORDER/SUMMON

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Smti. Maman Pertin and Shri Kayem Pertin for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the E.E (WRD), Roing Lower Dibang Valley District (A.P) as sought for by them under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide their application dated 19.05.2025:

A. Particular of information:-c/o Jongga Loglung MIP under Dambuk Circle.

B. Details of information required:

1. Furnish copy of sanctioned order.
2. Furnish copy of work orders issued to Shri Atari Pertin, Dambuk.
3. Furnish copy of cheques wherein detail payment was paid to the firm contractor or Shri Atari Pertin, Dambuk.

This appeal was heard on 24.12.2025 wherein the PIO, Er. Shri Kago Robu, E.E and one of the appellants, namely, Shri Kayem Pertin were present in person.

This Commission had heard the parties and also perused the order dt. 07.08.2025 passed by the FAA, directing the PIO to furnish the requested information/documents to the appellants. Had also perused the PIO's letter dt.19.12.2025, furnishing thereunder the replies to the queries of the appellants.

During the course of hearing, the appellant, while reiterating his demand for the requested information/documents, had pleaded for an appropriate order to the PIO for furnishing the sought for information. The PIO, on the other hand, had contended that the appellants were already furnished with the requested information in the year 2018 but they have sought the same information/documents again vide their present application dt.19.05.2025 saying that the information furnished earlier by the PIO were incomplete and misleading.

The PIO, reiterating his statement/remarks made in the written submission as above, had submitted as under:

- a) As regards copy of sanction order, he submitted that no separate sanction orders for individual scheme were issued but a composite Govt. sanction order containing the list of schemes, including the scheme in question, would be available which can be furnished.

- b) As regards work order the PIO submitted that the records of work orders are not available as the scheme was executed 15 years back .
- c) As against cheque counterfoils the PIO submitted that since the scheme was executed 15 years back, the records of cheque counterfoils are not available. However, that the details of cheque Nos. are mentioned in the First & Final Bill.

This Commission, considering and accepting the submission of the PIO as above, had, however, directed him to furnish the aforesaid replies by way of an affidavit to the appellant to his satisfaction as provided under section 7(8)(i) of the RTI Act read with section-18(3)(c) of the RTI Act, 2005 and under rule- 5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. The appellant was also directed to intimate the receipt of the affidavit to this Commission within 1(one) week of the receipt of the same.

This Commission is now in receipt of letter dt.09.01.2026 (received in this Commission on 13.01.2026) from the appellants complaining thereunder that the PIO has furnished a bit of sought for information but he is absolutely unsatisfied by the replies. The extract of the said letter of the appellants is reproduced hereunder:

“R/Sir;

It is to inform you that sir, while in the last RTI hearing on dated 24/12/2025 regarding C/O of Jongga Loklung MIP under Dambuk circle against Executive Engineer, WRD, Roing division, your Hon'ble court has directed to furnish the unfurnished sought information within fourteen day time. As per your direction the said Executive Engineer, WRD, Roing division had

Hence, I would entreat you sir to call both of us for second time hearing at the earliest possible so to make the said Engineer furnished my sought information as per RTI Act.

Thanking-You

Yours faithfully,
Sd/-
(Kayem Pertin)
Dambuk”.

In the premises as above, this appeal is listed again on 23.01.2026 for consideration of the submission of the appellants.

NOW THEREFORE, you are hereby summoned to appear in person or online in the Hon'ble Court of Shri Sangyal Tsering Bappu, **SIC on** 23th January, 2026 (Friday) at 2 pm to answer the claims, and you are directed to produce on that day all the documents upon which you intend to rely in support of your claims/defense.

Take notice that, in default of your appearance, on the day above- mentioned, the matter will be heard and determined in your absence.

To avail online hearing please at least notify or get in touch one day prior to the hearing, download "**WEBEX MEETING APP**" from Google Play store. For further technical assistance Shri Himanshu Verma, IT Consultant (Mobile no. 8319014957) maybe contacted.

Sd/-

(S. TSERING BAPPU)

State Information Commissioner,
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo No. APIC-713/2025 /22 Dated Itanagar, the 16 Jan., 2026

Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer (WRD) Eastern Zone, Miao, Changlang District (A.P), the First Appellate Authority (FAA) PIN: 792120 for information and ensuring compliance of the order by the PIO.
2. The PIO, o/o the E.E (WRD), Roing Lower Dibang Valley District (A.P) PIN: 792110 for information and compliance.
3. Smti. Maman Pertin and Shri Kayem Pertin, Dambuk, Lower Dibang Valley District (A.P) (PIN:792122) (Contact No. 8731960804) for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/Copy.

Parag 16/01/26
Registrar/ Deputy Registrar
APIC, Itanagar.
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar