



## **ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION**

### **ITANAGAR.**

**An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**

**Case No. APIC-379/2024.**

**APPELLANT**

: Shri Tamchi Gungte

**RESPONDENT**

: The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD)  
Pasighat Division, East Siang Dist., A.P

### **ORDER/SUMMONS**

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Tamchi Gungte for non-furnishing of below mentioned information by the PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Pasighat Division, East Siang Dist. Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 04.09.2024.

**A) Particular of information:** c/o "Rehabilitation and upgradation of Miren-

Mikong Jonai Road (L-15.568 km), under the North East Road Sector Development Scheme (NERSDS) in Arunachal Pradesh during the financial year 2020-21.

#### **B) Details of information required:**

1. Certified sanction order copy of the total list of project mentioned above.
2. Total lists of work components of the projects.
3. The certified copy of utilization certificate.
4. The certified copy of Notice Inviting Tender (NIT) with respect to the subject mentioned above.
5. The certified copy of Newspaper in which the NIT was published (at least 3 newspaper names (one national & 2 locals) along with the date of publication of newspaper as per Govt. approved order.
6. The Tender Evaluation copy (Technical Bid) along with the list of Firms participated in the tender processed of the work.
7. The name of firm who won the tender work with respect to the subject mentioned above.
8. The certified copy on which date the project has been started.
9. The certified copy of completion certificate for the subject mentioned above.
10. The Geo Coordinate information for work mentioned above.

11. Certified photograph of worksite (colored photo) before starting of work and after completion of work.
12. Name of officers and their Designation at the time of monitoring the work.
13. The certified full agreement copy made in between the executing agency and the firm owner.
14. he certified copy of contractor registration of the winning firm.
15. The certified copy of contractor enlistment update reports, of tender winning firm.
16. The certified affidavit copy sworn before a competent magistrate to the effect that he/she does not have 2 (two) or more incomplete ongoing commitment (Project/contract to execute) at the time of bidding by the tender participant and winning firm (as per rule SPWD/W-66/2012 dt. 01-08-2018).

This appeal was heard on 02.04.2024 wherein the Appellant, Shri Tamchi Gungte was present in person and Er. Shri Hori Mibang, AE and the APIO appeared through the VC on behalf of the PIO.

During the course of hearing the APIO submitted that the o/o the PIO had already furnished the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant also acknowledged the receipt of the documents but expressed his dissatisfaction saying that out of the 16 point information he had sought from the PIO, he did not receive the information on point numbers 05,11,15 and 16 of his RTI application. The APIO, responded by saying that the left out documents, whichever is available, shall be provided to the appellant who may visit the o/o the PIO any time.

This Commission, upon hearing the parties and on perusal of the details of documents/information sought for by the appellant which are not covered by the relevant exemption provisions under the RTI Act, directed the PIO to furnish those left out information to the appellant within 2(two) weeks from 02.04.2025 and in any case not later than 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2025 and the appellant was also directed to inform within 1(one) week thereafter to this Commission of the receipt of the information failing which it was made clear that this appeal shall be closed presuming that he had received the information and is satisfied therewith.

In compliance with the order of this Commission as above, the appellant, vide his letter dt. 22.04.2025 complained that the PIO did not furnish the left out information/documents despite assurance given during the hearing. He, therefore, pleaded for hearing the appeal again besides taking action against the PIO for disobeying the order of this Commission.

This Commission, upon perusal of the Appellant's letter, finds that the PIO is required to be heard and as such this appeal is listed again on 28.05.2025.



**NOW THEREFORE**, You are hereby summoned to appear in the Hon'ble Court of Shri Sangyal Tsering Bappu, **SIC in person on the 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 (Wednesday), at 10.30 am** with the left out documents and to answer the claims.

Take notice that, in default of your appearance, on the day above- mentioned, the matter will be heard and determined in your absence.

Sd/-

**(S. TSERING BAPPU)**  
**State Information Commissioner,**  
**APIC, Itanagar.**

**Memo No. APIC- 379/2024 / 559 Dated Itanagar, the 29 April, 2025**

Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Central Zone-B, Pasighat, East Siang District the First Appellate Authority (FAA), for information and ensuring compliance of this order by the PIO concerned.
2. The PIO, o/o the Executive Engineer (PWD), Pasighat Division, Dist. : East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh PIN: 791102 for compliance.
3. Shri Tamchi Gungte, Near KV – II Chimpu, PO/PS : Chimpu, Dist. : Papum Pare, PIN 791113, Arunachal Pradesh, Mobile No. 9233567279 for information and compliance.
- ✓ 4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
5. Office copy.
6. S/Copy.

  
**Registrar/ Deputy Registrar**  
**APIC, Itanagar**  
**Deputy Registrar**  
**Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission**  
**Itanagar**