



**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, APIC
ITANAGAR**

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005.
Vide Case No. APIC-222/2025

(Summon to appear in person)

(Or. 5, R.3 of CPC)

Shri Mamu Sono -V/S- PIO-Cum-EE, PWD, Kalaktang Div. W/Kameng District A.P.

To,

Shri Mamu Sono,
Sood Village, Naharlagun,
Papum Pare District, A.P.
M- 9436215521

SUMMON.

WHEREAS, in connection with the **APIC-No. 222/2025**, your personal appearance is required before the Commission on **27th November, 2025 at 10:30 AM**. The 2nd hearing held on **23rd September, 2025**. The Appellant Shri Mamu Sono is absent without informing the reasons for his absence to the Commission, to which the Commission has taken serious note for the fact that, while preferring an appeal and being informed of the date of hearing in advance for some 2 (two) months before and still the Appellant himself not turning up for the hearing indeed is like making a joke of the procedural laws and taking the Commission for a joy ride.

The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, PWD, Kalaktang Division, West Kameng District, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh is present in person during the hearing before the Commission.

Heard the PIO;

The PIO stated before the Commission that in total the same Appellant has filed 25 Nos. of RTI applications at his office. Out of the 25 (twenty five) applications the information(s) for 11 (eleven) application(s) have already been posted through Registered Post at the address of the Appellant and the information(s) for 14 (fourteen) applications are to be provided to the Appellant upon his turning up at the office of the PIO personally.

In the last hearing on 12th June' 2025 the Commission had ordered the Appellant to collect the rest of the information(s) which are not to be sent through Postal Services and today the hearing was fixed for confirmation from the Appellant whether he has received the information(s) from the office of the PIO or not.

But by the absence of the Appellant, the Commission could not ascertain whether the Appellant has received the information(s) or not, and therefore, the Commission hereby orders;

1. The Appellant to go to the office of the PIO and receive the information(s) for 14 (fourteen) applications that he has filed.
2. The Appellant to let know the Commission whether he has received the complete information(s) or not, on or before the next date of hearing.
3. The Appellant to be present in the next date of hearing without fail, failing which this instant appeal will be heard and determined ex-parte.
4. The PIO present in person has been intimated by the Commission to be present in the next date of hearing, and therefore, notice need not be sent to the PIO.

The Commission hereby orders;

The next date of hearing be fixed on 27th November' 2025' at 10:30 AM (Morning).

N.B:- To avail online hearing please, notify or get in touch one day prior to the hearing, by downloading "WEBEX MEETING APP" from Google Play store. For further technical assistance may contact **Shri Himanshu Verma, IT Consultant (Mobile no. 8319014957).**

It is so ordered on this 23rd day of September, 2025 at 01:30 PM.

Sd/-
(Vijay Taram)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo. No. APIC-222/A/2025

Dated Itanagar, the 26th September, 2025

Copy to:

1. The Computer Operator for uploading on the website of the APIC.
2. Office Copy

P. Rajiv Kumar
Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC Itanagar
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission