



ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, APIC
ITANAGAR

An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act,
2005.

Vide Case No. APICS-

992/2023,

(Summon to appear in person)
(Or. 5, R.3 of CPC)

Appellant: Shri Likha Tadam

-V/S-

PIO-Cum-EE (PWD), Yazali

.....
...
To

1. M/s T.T. Enterprises,
C/o PIO-cum-EE, PWD, Yazali
2. M/s Haangs Growers,
C/o PIO-cum-EE, PWD, Yazali
3. M/s B.T.T. Enterprises,
C/o PIO-cum-EE, PWD, Yazali
4. M/s Neelam Sonju
C/o PIO-cum-EE, PWD, Yazali
5. M/s Gryu Enterprises,
C/o PIO-cum-EE, PWD, Yazali
6. M/s Donyi Lella Enterprises
C/o PIO-cum-EE, PWD, Yazali

Summon Notice.

The 2nd hearing of **APIC-992/2023** is held on 19th January, 2024. Both the parties found absent during the hearing. However, on the behalf of the appellant his learned counsel present namely Taba Tokur and the PIO detailed his representative namely Shri Bengia Chito (UDC).

The appellant's learned Counsel reported to the Commission that the PIO has furnished all the information to his appellant but the appellant is not satisfied with the following points i.e :-

1. The furnished documents are not accordance with the Form A of RTI application according to serial No.6 of an application.
2. The documents /information furnished without page no. which hardship to find out which information has furnished.
3. Did not furnish serial no.4, (I) (b), (c), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l) and Serial no. 4, II (b), (c), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k) and (l)
4. Did not furnish status report of RTI, form A serial No. (III), (IV), (V), (VI), (VII) & (VIII).

So, the learned counsel returned the information to the representative of the PIO.

On this, the representative of the PIO intimated to the Commission that some of the information are third party information due to Which the PIO failed to furnish to the appellant and other then above mentioned information he assured to furnish to the appellant clearly, serially & paging no. as per the appellant's requirement.

On this, the Commission directed to furnish all the information correct, clear & authentic to the appellant on or before next date of hearing. And also directed to issue summon notice to the third party to appear in person before the Commission in the next date of hearing, to explain the reason why they don't allow to furnish the said information. Failing which necessary action shall be initiated against the PIO as per the RTI Act, 2005.

The Commission fixed the next date of hearing on **3rd April, 2024 at 2 PM.**

Sd/-


(Adv. Khopey Thaley)
State Information Commissioner
APIC, Itanagar.

Memo. No. APIC-992/2023/826

Dated Itanagar, the...12...March, 2024.

Copy to:

1. The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (PWD) Yazali, Lower Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh for information and necessary action.
2. Shri Likha Tadam, D-Sector, near Postal Coloney, PO/PS, Itanagar, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh for information & necessary action.
Contact No. 7640806454.
3. The Computer Programmer/Operator, for uploading on the website of APIC and mail to DC, Palin.
4. Office Copy.


Registrar/Dy. Registrar
APIC Itanagar.
Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar