



सूचना का
अधिकार
RIGHT TO
INFORMATION

**ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION(APIC)
ITANAGAR**

.....
(Before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Mr. Genom Tekseng)

AN APPEAL UNDER SECTION 19 (3) OF RTI ACT, 2005.

APIC-No.518/2022(Appeal)

Sh. Kon Teli, Balijan, PO/PS: Balijan,
P/Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh.
(M) 9707281364. **Pin: 791123.**

Appellant

-Versus-

The BDO-Cum-PIO, O/o the Block
Development Officer, CD Block Balijan,
Papum Pare District, Govt. of Arunachal
Pradesh.
Pin: 791111.

Respondent

Date of hearing: 19.01.2024.

The following are present:

Respondent : Respondent PIO is represented by Shri **Tadar Lunghu**, EO (RE).

Appellant : Appellant Shri **Kon Teli** is present.

The appellant has submitted that in inspite of commission's directions the PIO has not provided correct and relevant information. He has further stated that the appellant has not received point-wise reply from the PIO. The PIO is not present in person to contest the submission made by the appellant during the hearing.

The PIO is absent. However, Shri Tadar Lunghu has appeared on behalf of the PIO. PIO's representative has stated that Shri Rome Mele had been transferred from the Balijan and Shri Pura Rallo has taken over the incharge of BDO, Balijan.

The commission based on a perusal of record notes that the PIO had provided timely reply to the appellant and requested the appellant to collect the information vide his letter dated 08.08.2023. Records further reveals that during last hearing on 27.10.2023, the PIO appeared with the information but the appellant refused to receive the same stating that he would only accept signed copy of the information. The commission after hearing the submissions made by both the parties

during the hearing directed the PIO to provide signed copy of the information along with a point-wise reply to the appellant based on his quires raised in the RTI application. It appears that the PIO has not furnished point-wise reply to the appellant.

Keeping in view facts and circumstances of the case and in the light of the submission made by the appellant during the hearing, the commission directs Shri ROME MELE, then PIO to give reasons for not furnishing point-wise reply to the appellant as directed by the commission on 27.10.2023. Shri **ROME MELE**, then PIO is also directed to appear with his detailed reply during next hearing. Present PIO is directed to ensure service of this order to Shri ROME MELE, then PIO under due intimation to the commission. The commission further directs the present PIO to furnish available information to the appellant within 3 weeks from the issue of this order. Above directions of the commission shall be complied by **05th April, 2024**, failing which appropriate action for non-compliance commenced shall be SUO MOTO.

With the above directions hearing of the case is adjourned to **22.04.2024**.

Copy of this order be supplied to the parties.

Sd/-
(Genom Tekseng)
Information Commissioner

Memo No.APIC-518/2022/706
Copy to:

Dated Itanagar the 6th Feb' 2024.

1. Computer Programmer, Itanagar, APIC, to upload in APIC, website please.
2. Office copy.

6/2/24
Registrar / Dy.Registrar,
APIC, Itanagar

Deputy Registrar
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission
Itanagar