





## ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION ITANAGAR

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF SHRI GUMJUM HAIDER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

No.APIC-535/2022

Dated, Itanagar the 19th January', 2024.

Under Section 19(3) RTI Act, 2005

VS

**Appellant** 

Respondent

Shri Tania June & Shri Abang Yangfo

PIO -cum-EE, PWD,

C/o Hotel Chandini, Naharlagun,

Tezu Division, District - Lohit, AP.

ICR, AP.

## JUDGEMENT ORDER

An appeal under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 was received from Shri Tania June & Shri Abang Yangfo, C/o Hotel Chandini, Naharlagun, ICR, PIN-791110, Arunachal Pradesh, for non-furnishing of information, by The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Tezu Division, District – Lohit, PIN-792001, Arunachal Pradesh, as sought for by the appellant under section 6(1) of RTI Act, 2005.

Brief fact of the case being that the appellant on 06.06.2022 filed an RTI application under 'Form-A' before the PIO, whereby, seeking various information regarding:

"C/o Bridge/Culverts on NH-522 BRTF road Bhekuliang, Tezu (SH: Steel Composite bridge over Haju river) cost of Rs 742.23 lacs (Rupees Seven Crore forty two lacs twenty three thousand) only".

The above subject has been mentioned in detail under 'Form - A'.

The 1<sup>st</sup> hearing for the case was heard on 27<sup>th</sup> day of September' 2022. Both the parties were absent. The APIO represented the case on-behalf of the PIO. The case was heard ex-parte. Since the appellant was absent the matter couldn't be discussed further. As such, the Court fixed next date of hearing for the case on 16<sup>th</sup> November' 2022.

The 2<sup>nd</sup> hearing for the case was heard on 16<sup>th</sup> day of November' 2022. The appellant was present but the PIO was absent. The case was heard ex-parte. Since the PIO was absent the matter couldn't be discussed further. As such the Court fixed the next date of hearing for the case on 23<sup>rd</sup> December' 2022.

The 3<sup>rd</sup> hearing for the case was heard on 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December' 2022. The appellant and the APIO both were present. Heard both the parties. The appellant informed the Court that he has received all the documents furnished by the PIO and further expressed his satisfaction for all the documents furnished. Later the appellant pleaded before the Court to dispose of the case. Hence, the Court directed to dispose of the case and no such hearings for this case will be entertained further in the Commission's Court.

Considering all the above aspects into account, I find this appeal fit to be disposed of as infructuous. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of and closed for once and for all. Each copy of this order disposing the appeal is furnished to the parties.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission's Court on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of January' 2023.

Sd/-(GUMJUM HAIDER) State Information Commissioner, APIC, Itanagar.

Memo.No.APIC- 535/2022// 2 2 Q Copy to:-

Dated, Itanagar, the January' 2024.

- 1. The PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Tezu Division, District- Lohit, PIN 792001, Arunachal Pradesh, for information & necessary action please.
- 2. Shri Tania June & Shri Abang Yabgfo, C/o Hotel Chandini, Naharlagun, ICR, PIN 791110, Arunachal Pradesh, PH-8131848230, for information & necessary action please.
- 3. The Computer Operator for uploading on the Website of APIC, please.
- Office copy.

Registar/Dy.Registrar,

APIC, Itanagar gistrar

Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission

tanagar