



## **ARUNACHAL PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION**

### **ITANAGAR.**

**An Appeal Case U/S 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005**

**Case No. APIC- 710/2025.**

**APPELLANT** : Shri Nabam Jairam, Landung Balijan.  
**RESPONDENT** : The PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Doimukh Division, Doimukh.

### **ORDER**

This is an appeal under Section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 received from Shri Nabam Jairam for non-furnishing of the following 13(thirteen) point information c/o widening and strengthening road from Itanagar to Jote under RIDF-XXVI 20 km - 2021 by the PIO, o/o the EE (PWD), Doimukh Division, Papum Pare District Arunachal Pradesh as sought for by him under section 6(1) (Form-A) of RTI Act, 2005 vide his application dated 14.04.2025.

**A. Particular of Information:** c/o widening and strengthening road from Itanagar to Jote under RIDF-XXVI 20km- 2021

#### **B. Details of Information required.**

1. As per D.P.R it is mentioned that quality control and 0.25 percent road safety is considered, please justify?
2. Furnish the name of Agency/Department of quality control works and report sign by the concerned agency/Department
3. Furnish the name of Agency/Department of road safety works.
4. As per D.P.R it is mentioned that consultancy charges at 0.5%, please furnish Consultant work order/letter of issued and name of consultant payment received by them or cheque photo?
5. Furnish the soil test report on 6 [six] bridges and payment receipt by Agency/Department.
6. As per D.P.R, please provide Challan copy of Materials purchase and give site inspection book handled by engineer concerned.
7. Furnish Technical Sanction copy of the said project from Chief Engineer.
8. Furnish the 10 m or 30 m each widening quality as it is not cleared in B.O.Q and rate of quantity and mentioned each chainage & widening quality.
9. Furnish the estimated of all the firm participated in details and provide rate statement of L1, L2 and 13.
10. Furnish the details of additional amount [403 lakhs Approx) of substitute items.
11. Furnish the details of Extra Deviation item amount [10.6 cr. approx.) or what ground deviation was carried out?
12. Furnish tendered B.O.Q of the Winning Firm.
13. Agreement was carried out as per tender called by Department, extra work carried out by site condition, Give justification? And Furnish letter copy of exchange between department and contractor and the approved copy of Chief Engineer or competent authority.
14. Furnish the Bill Voucher of Traffic Furniture and making of road.

**Brief facts emerging from the appeal:**

Records emerging from the appeal disclose that the Appellant, Shri Nabam Jairam had requested the PIO for the aforementioned information / documents but failed to obtain the complete documents from the PIO which prompted him to appeal before the Superintending Engineer (PWD), Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Capital Circle, Itanagar as the First Appellate Authority (F.A.A) under Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act vide his Appeal Memo dated 28.05.2025.

Records further revealed that the FAA conducted the hearing two times on 24.07.2025 and 26.08.2025 and passed the following orders:

**1. Date of hearing: 24.07.2025**

**"JUDGEMENT ORDER**

*This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of the section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Nabam Jairam had filed an RTI application dated 17.04.2025 in form-A to the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Doimukh Division, PWD Doimukh seeking various documents/information regarding "C/o widening & strengthening road from Itanagar to Jote under RIDF-XXVI 20 Km-2021"*

*Appellant being not provided with the requisite information by the PIO had filed the First appeal dated 28.05.2025 and the matter was heard before the FAA-cum-SE, Capital Circle, PWD, Itanagar on 24.07.2025, wherein the respondent PIO, is represented by Shri. Taba Juti, Jr. Estimator, PWD Doimukh Division with authorization letter and the appellant Shri Nabam Jairam appeared.*

*Heard the parties present.*

*In this hearing, out of the total 14 nos. of RTI queries, the representative of the respondent PIO provided the documents pertaining to query Nos. 7, 9, 10, 11, 13 and 14 to the appellant vide reply letter no. DD/RTI/2025-26/1956, dated 21.07.2025 and the same were duly received by the appellant.*

*Further, it has been decided that the respondent PIO-cum-EE, PWD, Doimukh shall provide the documents pertaining to the remaining query nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 12 of the said RTI application on the next date of hearing on 26.08.2015 (Tuesday).*

Sd/-

*PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (Plg)  
FAA-cum-Superintending Engineer,  
PWD, Capital Circle Itanagar"*

**2. Date of Hearing: 26.08.2025**

**"JUDGEMENT ORDER**

*This is an appeal filed under sub-section (3) of the section 19 of the RTI Act, 2005. Brief fact of the case is that the appellant Shri Nabam Jairam had filed an RTI application dated 17.04.2025 in Form-A to the PIO-cum-Executive Engineer, Doimukh Division, PWD, Doimukh seeking various documents/information regarding "C/o widening & strengthening road from Itanagar to Jote under RIDF-XXVI 20 Km-2021".*

*Appellant being not provided with the requisite information by the PIO had filed the 1<sup>st</sup> appeal dated 28.05.2025 and the matter was heard before the FAA-cum-SE, Capital Circle, PWD, Itanagar on 24.07.2025, wherein out of the total 14 nos. of*

RTI queries documents pertaining to RTI query Nos. 7, 9, 10, 11, 13 and 14 were provided to the appellant by the representative of the respondent PIO vide reply letter no. DD/RTI/2025-26/1956, dated 21.07.2025. Further it was directed to the respondent PIO-cum-EE, PWD. Doimukh to provide the documents pertaining to the remaining RTI Query Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 12 on the next date of hearing on 26.08.22 (Tuesday),

In the 2nd hearing held today on 26.08.2025, Er Lishi Lel, Asstt. Engineer-cum-APIO, being the representative of the of the respondent PIO was present and the appellant Shri Nabam Jairam was present.

Heard the parties present

In this hearing, all the documents pertaining to the remaining RTI query nos, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 12 of the initial RTI application were handed over to the appellant and the same were duly received by the appellant.

In view of above facts and circumstances I find this appeal is fit to be disposed off and closed with liberty to the appellant to file appeal to the higher Appellate Authority if aggrieved by decision of this order. And, accordingly, this appeal stands disposed off and closed once for all.

Sd

FAA-cum-Superintending Engineer  
Capital Circle, PWD Itanagar."

The appellant, apparently, failed to obtain the complete and satisfactory information and therefore, preferred 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal before this Commission under Section 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 Vide Memo of appeal dt. 09.09.2025.

Accordingly, this appeal was heard 3(three) times on 24.12.2025, 28.01.26 and on 11.03.2026.

This Commission in its order dt. 28.01.2026 had passed the following direction to the PIO:

"Upon hearing parties and on perusal of the documents furnished by the PIO, this Commission noticed that the o/o the PIO had, indeed, furnished most of the requested information to the appellant, who is largely satisfied with the most of the replies except the following:

1. Name of Agency/Department of quality control works and report signed by the concerned agency/Department (Sl. No.2);
2. Name of Agency/Department of road safety works (Sl.No.3);
3. Soil test report on 6 (six) bridges and payment receipt by Agency / Department (Sl. No 5);
4. Approval /order copy of Chief Engineer or competent authority approved copy of Chief Engineer or competent authority on extra work and agreement between department and contractor (Sl.No.13);
5. Bill Voucher of Traffic Furniture and marking of road (Sl.No.14).

As assured by the PIO during the course of hearing, the aforesaid left out information/replies shall be furnished to the appellant within 1(one) week from today and it is again made clear that the replies/ explanation against Sl. No (2) and (5) of RTI application shall be furnished by way of an affidavit. The appellant is also directed to intimate this Commission of the receipt of the left out information within one week thereafter failing which this appeal shall stand closed."

The appellant has, vide his letter dt.03.02.2026, however, complained that the PIO did not furnish him the following documents:

1. Sl. No (2) the name of Agency/Department of quality control works and report copy signed by the concerned Agency/Department.
2. Sl. No (6) Challan copy of Materials Purchase and Give site inspection book handled by engineer concerned.
3. Sl. No 2 and 5 as directed in order, the PIO cum E.E P.W.D Doimukh did not furnish an affidavit.

The PIO was, therefore, directed, vide 12<sup>th</sup> Feb., 2026 to furnish the left out information / documents and/or the affidavit and report compliance thereof today.

Today on 11.03.26, the appellant, Shri Nabam Jairam is present in person while the PIO, Er. Shri Naresh Teli Kamdir appeared through VC.

Heard the parties.

The PIO submitted that the appellant has been provided with the all the requested replies/information/documents the receipt of which the appellant has dully acknowledged on the office copy of the forwarding letter. The appellant, on the other hand complained that the replies / information furnished by the PIO were not satisfactory and accordingly, demanded that the replies to those queries which are being denied be furnished in an affidavit as already directed by this Commission in its order dt. 28.01.2026.

This Commission, in terms of the requirement of law, is inclined to accept the pleading of the appellant and as mandated by section 7(8)(i) of the RTI Act r/w section-18(3)(c) and rule- 5(vi) of the AP Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005, the submission/replies of the PIO have to be furnished by way of an affidavit. Accordingly, PIO is directed to furnish the replies / explanation to the left out information viz. Sl. No (2), Sl. No (5) and Sl. No 6 by way of an affidavit to the appellant within 1(one) week from the date of receipt of this order so as not to constrain this Commission to invoke the penal provisions under section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005. The appellant may also visit the o/o the PIO and inspect the documents as is entitled under section 2(j)(i) of the RTI Act, 2005.

This appeal is disposed of and closed with the above direction.

Given under my hand and seal of this Commission on this 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2006.

Sd/-  
(S. TSERING BAPPU)  
State Information Commissioner,  
APIC, Itanagar.

**Memo No. APIC-710/2025 / 1130<sup>-5-</sup> Dated Itanagar, the 18 March., 2026**

Copy to:

1. The Superintending Engineer (PWD), Govt. of A.P, Capital Circle Itanagar, First Appellate Authority (FAA), for information.
2. The PIO, o/o the E.E (PWD), Doimukh Division, Papum Pare District (Pin Code: 791112) for information.
3. Shri Nabam Jairam, village Langdung Circle Sangdupota, PO/PS Baliyan, Papum Pare District (A.P) PIN: 791123 (Contact No. 9436266560) for information.
4. The Computer Programmer/Computer Operator for uploading on the website of APIC, please.
5. Office Copy.
6. S/copy.

*P. Rang...*  
**Registrar/ Deputy Registrar  
APIC, Itanagar.**

**Deputy Registrar  
Arunachal Pradesh Information Commission  
Itanagar**